arXiv:1609.05326v1 [math.FA] 17 Sep 2016

PREDUALS AND COMPLEMENTATION OF SPACES OF BOUNDED LINEAR OPERATORS

EUSEBIO GARDELLA AND HANNES THIEL

Abstract. For Banach spaces X and Y, we establish a natural bijection between preduals of Y and preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ that respect the right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module structure. If X is reflexive, it follows that there is a unique predual making $\mathcal{L}(X)$ into a dual Banach algebra. This removes the condition that X have the approximation property in a result of Daws.

We further establish a natural bijection between projections that complement Y in its bidual and projections that complement $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ in its bidual as a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module. It follows that Y is complemented in its bidual if and only if $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in its bidual (either as a module or as a Banach space).

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Preduals of Banach spaces 8
4. The map $\alpha_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ 12
5. Complementation of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ in its bidual 21
6. Preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ 25
7. Application: Preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ for Y reflexive 30
Appendix A. Duals and biduals of Banach algebras and modules 35
Appendix B. Preduals of Banach algebras and modules 41
References 44

1. Introduction

Given a Banach space X, we study preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ in relation to preduals of X. The most satisfactory results in this direction are obtained when $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is regarded not only as a Banach space, but as a Banach algebra (more precisely, as a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module via pre-composition). In this sense, we will focus on preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ whose induced weak* topology on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ makes right multiplication continuous. One of our main results implies that such preduals are in one-to-one correspondence with preduals of X; see Theorem A below.

Date: 20 September 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47L05, 47L10, Secondary: 47L45, 46B10, 46B20.

Key words and phrases. Preduals, unique predual, dual Banach algebra, dual module, complemented subspace.

The first named author was partially supported by a Postdoctoral Research Fellowship from the Humboldt Foundation. Both authors were partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 878 *Groups, Geometry & Actions*). Part of the research was conducted at the Mittag-Leffler institute during the 2016 program on Classification of Operator Algebras: Complexity, Rigidity, and Dynamics.

In fact, the methods here developed apply equally well to analyzing preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, considered with its natural right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module structure. Moreover, shifting attention from $\mathcal{L}(X)$ to $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ clarifies the different roles that the domain space X and target space Y play for properties of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. For example, and somewhat interestingly, it turns out that preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ are induced by preduals of Y, while preduals of X do not play any role. A heuristic argument for this fact is the well-known result that for any Banach space Z, the space $\mathcal{L}(X,Z^*)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the dual of the projective tensor product $X\widehat{\otimes}Z$. It is thus natural to address the more general problem of studying preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ compatible with the right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-action, in relation to preduals of Y. Therefore, our general approach is to first study the setting of Banach (bi)modules (in particular $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$) and then draw conclusions for Banach algebras (in particular $\mathcal{L}(X)$).

A (concrete) predual of a Banach space Y is a closed subspace $F \subseteq Y^*$ inducing a canonical isomorphism between F^* and Y; see Paragraph 3.2. We call F an isometric predual if the isomorphism $F^* \cong Y$ is isometric. The existence and uniqueness of isometric preduals has been extensively studied in various settings; we refer to the survey article by Godefroy, [God89], and the references therein. For instance, by Sakai's theorem, a C^*-algebra has an isometric predual if and only if it is a von Neumann algebra, and the isometric predual of a von Neumann algebra is unique. Similarly, by Godefroy and Saphar, [GS88, Proposition 5.10], if X is reflexive, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has an isometric predual, which is moreover unique.

Our focus is on preduals that are not necessarily isometric. Such preduals are not as well-studied and results do not simply generalize from isometric to general preduals. We will see that in some situations, considering the (bi)module or algebra structure is a suitable tool to obtain results for general preduals; see also Remarks 7.14.

Every predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ induces a natural predual $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, which makes the right action of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ weak* continuous; see Definition 6.1 and Theorem 6.6. We say that such a predual makes $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module; see Definition B.5. The converse is the main result of this paper:

Theorem A (See 6.7)**.** *Let X and Y be Banach spaces with $X \neq \{0\}$. Then assigning to a predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ the predual $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ induces a natural one-to-one correspondence between:*

(a) Concrete preduals of Y.
(b) Concrete preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ making it a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.

We obtain this result by studying projections $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. In general, considering Y as a subspace of its bidual Y^{**}, it is folklore that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between preduals of Y and projections $Y^{**} \to Y$ with weak* closed kernel; see Proposition 3.10. Every projection $\pi\colon Y^{**} \to Y$ induces a natural projection $r_\pi\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ that is a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map; see Paragraph 5.1 and Lemma 5.2. The converse is the main result of Section 5 and the foundation for most new results of this paper:

Theorem B (See 5.7)**.** *Let X and Y be Banach spaces with $X \neq \{0\}$. Then assigning to a projection $\pi\colon Y^{**} \to Y$ the projection $r_\pi\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ induces a natural one-to-one correspondence between:*

(a) Projections $Y^{**} \to Y$.
(b) Projections $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ that are right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module maps.

Moreover, the kernel of π is weak* closed if and only if so is the kernel of r_π.

Theorem A can be deduced from Theorem B by noticing that a predual $D \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ makes $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module if and only if the associated

projection $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map; see Proposition B.8. Another interesting consequence of Theorem B is:

Corollary C (See 5.8)**.** *Let X and Y be Banach spaces with $X \neq \{0\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) Y is complemented in its bidual.
(2) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in its bidual as a Banach space.
(3) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in its bidual as a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.

The equivalence between (1) and (2) in Theorem C was previously obtained by Delgado and Piñeiro, [DP07, Theorem 2.1]. (Note that the operator ideal of all operators, $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, is 'boundedly weak*-closed' in the sense of Delgado and Piñeiro.)

In Section 7, we note some interesting application of our results. We first characterize reflexivity of Y in terms of properties of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$; see Theorem 7.3.

Following Spain, [Spa15, Definition 4.1], we say that a Banach algebra A with a predual is a left (right) dual Banach algebra if the left (right) multiplication by a fixed element in A is weak* continuous. If A is both a left and a right dual Banach algebra, then it is called a dual Banach algebra; see Definition B.1. Dual Banach algebras were introduced by Runde, [Run02, Definition 4.4.1, p.108], and they have been extensively studied by Daws, [Daw04b], [Daw07], [Daw11]. In the case $X = Y$, we obtain:

Corollary D (See 7.4)**.** *For a Banach spaces X, the following are equivalent:*

(1) X is reflexive.
(2) $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a predual making it a (left) dual Banach algebra.
(3) $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is complemented in its bidual as a left $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.
(4) There exists a projection $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ that is multiplicative for the left (equivalentely, for the right, or for both) Arens product on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$.

Some implications of Theorem D were previously obtained by Daws, [Daw04b, Proposition 4.2.1], but the characterization of reflexivity of X in terms of the existence of a multiplicative projection $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ is new; see Remarks 7.5.

Next, we obtain uniqueness results for preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ assuming that Y is reflexive; see Theorem 7.6. Specializing to the case $X = Y$, we obtain:

Corollary E (See 7.7)**.** *If X is reflexive, then $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is the unique predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ making it a right dual Banach algebra.*

In particular, if X is reflexive, and if A is any right dual Banach algebra with a (not necessarily isometric) Banach algebra isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{L}(X) \to A$, then φ and φ^{-1} are automatically weak* continuous. This generalizes an earlier obtained by Daws, [Daw07, Theorem 4.4], where he assumes that X has the approximation property; see Remark 7.8.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall basic concepts such as the injection modulus, the Dixmier characteristic, and the relative (local) projection constant. We then study the general framework of (not necessarily isometric) preduals of Banach spaces and some associated numerical invariants in Section 3. Given a (concrete) predual $F \subseteq X^*$, we let $\delta_F\colon X \to F^*$ denote the associate contractive isomorphism. We define the *isomorphism constant* of F as $n(X,F) := \|\delta_F^{-1}\|$; see Definition 3.3. For each predual F, we have $n(X,F) \in [1,\infty)$, and $n(X,F) = 1$ if and only if F is an isometric predual. The infimum of $n(X,F)$ over all preduals F of X agrees with the Banach-Mazur distance between X and the class of dual Banach spaces; see Proposition 3.5.

In Section 4, we first introduce the natural bimodule structures on the spaces $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$. The $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule structure of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is straightforward; the right action of $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is given by precomposing with a, and similarly for the left action of $\mathcal{L}(Y)$. This induces a natural $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}, \square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}, \lozenge)$-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$; see Paragraph 4.1. (Here $\square$ and $\lozenge$ denote the left and right Arens product on the bidual of a Banach algebra, respectively; see Definition A.11.) We define a natural product on $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$ turning it into a unital Banach algebra; see Paragraph 4.9. We then show that $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ has a natural $\mathcal{L}(Y,Y^{**})$-$\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$-bimodule structure; see Paragraph 4.12.

The main object of study in Section 4 is the map $\alpha_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ introduced in Definition 4.17. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.22, where we show that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is a contractive, weak* continuous map that intertwines the different bimodule structures on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ in the sense that

$$\alpha_{X,Y}(FS) = \alpha_{X,Y}(F)\alpha_X(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{X,Y}(TF) = \alpha_Y(T)\alpha_{X,Y}(F),$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}$.

In the particular case $X = Y$, we conclude that $\alpha_X \colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$ is multiplicative for *either* Arens product on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$; see Corollary 4.24.

In Sections 5, 6, and 7, we study projections $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and applications to the case of reflexive spaces. These sections contain the main results and applications of this paper, which have been discussed above as Theorems A and B and Corollaries C, D, and E.

For the convenience of the reader, we include two appendices with background material. In Appendix A, we survey the theory of (bi)duals of Banach algebras and their modules. For this, we first recall the theory of Arens derived maps; see [Are51a]. Given a bilinear map $m \colon X \times Y \to Z$ between Banach spaces, Arens introduced two natural bilinear maps $m''', m''' \colon X^{**} \times Y^{**} \to Z^{**}$ that extend m; see Paragraph A.6. Applied to the product $p \colon A \times A \to A$ of a Banach algebra A, one obtains two associative operations p''' and p''' on A^{**}. These are also denoted $\square$ and $\lozenge$, and are called the left and right Arens product on A^{**}; see Definition A.11. Given a left A-module E, we observe that the theory of Arens derived maps can be used to define a natural right $(A^{**}, \lozenge)$-module structure on E^*; and analogously the dual of a right A-module has a natural structure as left $(A^{**}, \square)$-module; see Corollary A.16. This is in particular applied to obtain a natural $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}, \square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}, \lozenge)$-bimodule structure for the space $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$, which we identify with the dual of $X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y^*$; see Paragraph 4.15.

In Appendix B, we survey basic results on preduals of Banach algebras and modules. While most literature on this subject focuses on isometric preduals, we consider general preduals throughout. In particular, we generalize the notions of (left, right) dual Banach algebras and modules to include not necessarily isometric preduals; see Definitions B.1 and B.5. If E is a left A-module with predaul $F \subseteq E^*$, then E is a left dual A-module if and only if the associated projection $\pi_F \colon E^{**} \to E$ is a left A-module map, and similarly for right modules; see Proposition B.8. A Banach algebra A with predual F is a dual Banach algebra if and only if the associated projection $\pi_F \colon A^{**} \to A$ is multiplicative for the left (or equivalently the right) Arens product on A^{**}; see Theorem B.10. Important examples of dual Banach algebras are given by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for a reflexive space X, with predual $X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} X^*$. Similarly, the prime example of a right dual Banach algebra is $\mathcal{L}(X)$ with predual $X \mathbin{\overline{\otimes}}_X F$, for some predual $F \subseteq X^*$.

Notation. Throughout, $\mathbb{K}$ is either the field of real or complex numbers. Accordingly, Banach spaces are either or complex.

Given Banach spaces X and Y, we let $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ denote the Banach space of bounded, linear maps $X \to Y$. Let let $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$ and $\mathcal{A}(X,Y)$ denote the norm-closed subspaces of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ consisting of compact and approximable operators, respectively. Thus, $\mathcal{A}(X,Y)$ is the norm-closure of the finite-rank operators $X \to Y$. We write $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for $\mathcal{L}(X,X)$, and similarly with $\mathcal{K}(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}(X)$.

Given a Banach space X, we let X^{**} denote its bidual, and we let $\kappa_X \colon X \to X^{**}$ denote the canonical, isometric embedding. Elements in X are usually x, y, z; elements in X^* are usually ξ, η, ζ; elements in X^{**} are usually ρ, σ, τ; elements in a Banach algebra (such as A or $\mathcal{L}(X)$) are usually a, b, c; elements in the bidual of a Banach algebra (such as A^{**} or $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$) are usually S, T; elements in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ are usually f, g; and elements in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ are usually F, G. For Banach spaces X and Y and for $x \in X$, we write $\mathrm{ev}_x \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to Y$ for the evaluation map at x, that is, $\mathrm{ev}_x(a) = a(x)$ for $a \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.

Given a Banach space Y and a closed subspace X of Y, a *projection* from Y onto X is an element $p \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ satisfying $p^2 = p$ and $p(Y) = X$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definitions of numerical invariants associated to maps between Banach spaces, or to inclusions of Banach spaces.

Throughout this section, X and Y will denote Banach spaces.

2.1. Let $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ be a bounded, linear map. Following Pietsch, [Pie80, B.3, p.26ff], we define its *injection modulus* $j(\varphi)$ and its *surjection modulus* $q(\varphi)$ as:

$$j(\varphi) = \sup\{c : c\|x\| \leq \|\varphi(x)\| \text{ for all } x \in X\},$$
$$q(\varphi) = \sup\{c : \mathrm{ball}_c(Y) \subseteq \varphi(\mathrm{ball}_1(X))\}.$$

The map φ is said to be *bounded below* if $j(\varphi) > 0$. This happens if and only if φ is injective and has closed range, or equivalently, if φ is an isomorphism onto its image (and then $j(\varphi)^{-1}$ is the norm of the inverse map $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi) \to X$). The map φ is isometric if and only if $\|\varphi\| = 1 = j(\varphi)$.

It follows from the open mapping theorem that φ is surjective if and only if $q(\varphi) > 0$. We say that φ is a *metric surjection* if $\|\varphi\| = 1 = q(\varphi)$. Thus, φ is a metric surjection if and only if there is an isometric isomorphism $\bar{\varphi} \colon X/\ker(\varphi) \to Y$ such that $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \pi$, where $\pi \colon X \to X/\ker(\varphi)$ denotes the quotient map.

By [Pie80, Proposition B.3.8, p.27], we have

$$q(\varphi^*) = j(\varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad j(\varphi^*) = q(\varphi).$$

In particular, φ is surjective (bounded below) if and only if φ^* is bounded below (surjective). Further, it is easy to verify that

$$\ker(\varphi^*) = \mathrm{im}(\varphi)^\perp := \{\xi \in Y^* : \langle \xi, y\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathrm{im}(\varphi)\} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\ker(\varphi) = {}^\perp\mathrm{im}(\varphi^*) := \{x \in X : \langle \xi, x\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathrm{im}(\varphi^*)\}.$$

This implies the following well-known statements:

(1) The map φ^* is injective if and only if φ has norm-dense image.
(2) The map φ is injective if and only if φ^* has weak* dense image.

2.2. Let $F \subseteq X^*$ be a closed subspace. The *Dixmier characteristic* (or just characteristic) of F, denoted $r(F)$, is defined as the largest positive number d such that $\mathrm{ball}_d(X^*)$ is contained in the weak* closure of $\mathrm{ball}_1(F)$. We have $0 \leq r(F) \leq 1$.

Let $\iota\colon F \to X^*$ denote the inclusion map. We set $\delta_F := \iota^* \circ \kappa_X \colon X \to F^*$, which is shown in the following commutative diagram:



We claim that $r(F) = j(\delta_F)$. Indeed, consider the weak* continuous map $\kappa_X^* \circ \iota^{**}\colon F^{**} \to X^*$. Using that $\mathrm{ball}_1(F)$ is weak* dense in $\mathrm{ball}_1(F^{**})$, we deduce that for each $d > 0$ that $\mathrm{ball}_d(X^*)$ is contained in the weak* closure of $\mathrm{ball}_1(F)$ if and only $\mathrm{ball}_d(X^*)$ is contained in $(\kappa_X^* \circ \iota^{**})(\mathrm{ball}_1(F))$. This means that $r(F) = q(\kappa_X^* \circ \iota^{**})$. Further, we have $\kappa_X^* \circ \iota^{**} = \delta_F^*$ and therefore $q(\kappa_X^* \circ \iota^{**}) = j(\delta_F)$.

Recall that for $c > 0$, the space F is said to be *c-norming* if

$$\|x\| \leq c \cdot \sup\{|\langle f, x\rangle| : f \in F, \|f\| \leq 1\}$$

for all $x \in X$. Clearly, F is c-norming if and only if $j(\delta_F) \leq \frac{1}{c}$.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a closed subspace of Y. Given $\lambda \geq 1$, we say that X is *λ-complemented* in Y if there exists a projection $p\colon Y \to X$ with $\|p\| \leq \lambda$. Further, we say that X is *locally λ-complemented* in Y if for every finite-dimensional subspace F of Y and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(F, X)$ with $\varphi(x) = x$ for all $x \in F \cap X$ and such that $\|\varphi\| \leq \lambda + \varepsilon$.

We say that X is *(locally) complemented* in Y if it is (locally) λ-complemented for some $\lambda \geq 1$. We define the *relative (local) projection constant* of X in Y as

$$\lambda(X, Y) := \inf\{\lambda : X \text{ is } \lambda\text{-complemented in } Y\},$$
$$\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X, Y) := \inf\{\lambda : X \text{ is locally } \lambda\text{-complemented in } Y\},$$

with the convention $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

2.4. Let $X \subseteq Y$ be a closed subspace with inclusion $\iota\colon X \to Y$. We always have $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X, Y) \leq \lambda(X, Y)$, but equality does not hold in general. Indeed, considering X as a subspace of X^{**}, we have $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X, X^{**}) = 1$ by the principle of local reflexivity. On the other hand, X need not be complemented in its bidual. For example, c_0 is not complemented in its bidual $c_0^{**} = \ell_\infty$.

We consider X^{**} as a closed subspace of Y^{**} via ι^{**}. As noted at the beginning of Section 3 in [OR09], it follows from [Kal84, Theorem 3.5] that

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X, Y) = \lambda(X^{**}, Y^{**}).$$

Further, $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X, Y) \leq \lambda$ if and only if ι^* has right inverse of bound λ, that is, if and only if there exists a linear map $\varphi\colon X^* \to Y^*$ such that $\iota^* \circ \varphi = \mathrm{id}_{X^*}$ and $\|\iota^*\| \leq \lambda$. (Such a map φ is called an *extension operator*.) In particular, the value of the relative local projection constant $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X, Y)$ is realized by some extension operator $X^* \to Y^*$.

It follows that X^{**} is λ-complemented in Y^{**} if and only if $\lambda(X^{**}, Y^{**}) \leq \lambda$. In general, it seems an interesting problem to determine when the value of the relative projection constant is attained. For instance, assuming $\lambda(X, X^{**}) = 1$, does it follow that X is 1-complemented in its bidual? In another direction, assuming that $F \subseteq X^*$ is a weak* closed subspace, is it true that F is λ-complemented in X^* if and only if $\lambda(F, X^*) \leq \lambda$?

Consider the following diagram:



If X^{**} is complemented in Y^{**} via the projection α, and if X is complemented in X^{**} via the projection β, then X is complemented in Y via the projection $\beta\circ\alpha\circ\kappa_Y$. This proves the second inequality in the following folklore result.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $X \subseteq Y$ be a closed subspace. Then:*

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y) \leq \lambda(X,Y) \leq \lambda(X,X^{**})\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y).$$

2.6. Denote by $X \otimes Y$ the algebraic (that is, uncompleted) tensor product of X and Y. Recall that the projective cross norm of $t \in X \otimes Y^*$ is defined as

$$\|t\|_\pi = \inf\left\{\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|\|y_k\| : t = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes y_k\right\}.$$

We have $\|x \otimes y\|_\pi = \|x\|\|y\|$ for every simple tensor $x \otimes y \in X \otimes Y$. The projective tensor product of X and Y, denoted $X\widehat{\otimes}Y$, is defined as the completion of $X \otimes Y$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_\pi$. We refer to [Rya02] for details on the rich theory of tensor products of Banach spaces.

It is well known that there is a natural, isometric isomorphism between $(X\widehat{\otimes}Y)^*$ and $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^*)$ given as follows: An operator $a \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^*)$ defines a functional on $X \otimes Y$, defined on simple tensors by $\langle a, x\otimes y\rangle = \langle ax \otimes y\rangle$. The resulting functional on $X\widehat{\otimes}Y$ has norm $\|a\|$. Thus, for every $t \in X \otimes Y$ we have

$$\|t\|_\pi = \sup\{\langle a,t\rangle : a \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^*), \|a\| \leq 1\}.$$

We refer to [Rya02, p.24] for details.

2.7. One difficulty in dealing with projective tensor products is the absence of good functorial properties with respect to subspaces. It is true, by [Rya02, Proposition 2.3, p.18], that if $\varphi_k\colon X_k \to Y_k$ are bounded linear maps, for $k = 1,2$, then there is a unique bounded linear map $\varphi_1\widehat{\otimes}\varphi_2\colon X_1\widehat{\otimes}X_2 \to Y_1\widehat{\otimes}Y_2$ satisfying $(\varphi_1\widehat{\otimes}\varphi_2)(x_1\otimes y_2) = \varphi_1(x_1)\otimes\varphi_2(x_2)$ for every simple tensor $x_1 \otimes x_2 \in X_1\widehat{\otimes}X_2$. Moreover, $\|\varphi_1\widehat{\otimes}\varphi_2\| = \|\varphi_1\|\|\varphi_2\|$.

However, if φ_1 and φ_2 are isometric, it does in general not follow that $\varphi_1\widehat{\otimes}\varphi_2$ is isometric as well. In particular, if X is a closed subspace of Y, with inclusion map $\iota\colon X \to Y$, then $\iota\widehat{\otimes}\mathrm{id}_Z\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Z \to Y\widehat{\otimes}Z$ need not be isometric, and therefore $X\widehat{\otimes}Z$ is not (naturally) a subspace of $Y\widehat{\otimes}Z$.

The following result clarifies the situation.

Proposition 2.8 (Oja, Randala, [OR09, Corollary 3.1])**.** *Let $\varphi_k\colon X_k \to Y_k$ be bounded linear maps, for $k = 1,2$. Then*

$$\frac{j(\varphi_1)}{\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_1),Y_1)}\frac{j(\varphi_2)}{\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_2),Y_2)} \leq j(\varphi_1\widehat{\otimes}\varphi_2) \leq j(\varphi_1)j(\varphi_2).$$

As a particular case, let Y and Z be Banach spaces, let $X \subseteq Y$ be a closed subspace, and let $\iota\colon X \to Y$ denote the inclusion map. Then the map $\mathrm{id}_Z\widehat{\otimes}\iota\colon Z\widehat{\otimes}X \to Z\widehat{\otimes}Y$ satisfies:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y)} \leq j(\mathrm{id}_Z\widehat{\otimes}\iota) \leq 1,$$

and both inequalities can be attained. For $Z = \mathbb{K}$ we have $j(\mathrm{id}_Z\widehat{\otimes}\iota) = 1$. The other extreme occurs for $Z = X^*$, as the following result shows. This result is

probably well-known, but we could not find this formulation in the literature. See Oja, [Oja04, Theorem 3.1], for a different formulation.

Proposition 2.9. *Let Y be a Banach space, let $X \subseteq Y$ be a closed subspace, and let $\iota\colon X \to Y$ denote the inclusion map. Then:*

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y)} = j(\mathrm{id}_{X^*} \widehat{\otimes} \iota),$$

with the convention $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$.

Proof. Set $\varphi := \mathrm{id}_{X^*} \widehat{\otimes} \iota\colon X^* \widehat{\otimes} X \to X^* \widehat{\otimes} Y$. As noted in Paragraph 2.1, we have $j(\varphi) = q(\varphi^*)$. Identifying $(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$ with $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$, and identifying $(X^* \widehat{\otimes} Y)^*$ with $\mathcal{L}(X^*, Y^*)$, we have that φ^* is the map

$$\varphi^*\colon \mathcal{L}(X^*, Y^*) \to \mathcal{L}(X^*)$$

that sends an operator $f\colon X^* \to Y^*$ to the operator $\iota^* \circ f\colon X^* \to X^*$.

We claim that, given $c > 0$, we have $q(\varphi^*) \geq c$ if and only if there exists $f \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, Y^*)$ with $\iota^* \circ f = \mathrm{id}_{X^*}$ and $\|f\| \leq \frac{1}{c}$. The forward implication follows directly from the definition of $q(\varphi^*)$. Conversely, let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, Y^*)$ satisfy $\varphi^*(f) = \mathrm{id}_{X^*}$ and $\|f\| \leq \frac{1}{c}$. To verify $q(\varphi^*) \geq c$, we need to show that

$$\mathrm{ball}_c(\mathcal{L}(X^*)) \subseteq \varphi^*(\mathrm{ball}_1(\mathcal{L}(X^*, Y^*))).$$

Given $a \in \mathrm{ball}_c(\mathcal{L}(X^*))$, we consider the operator $f \circ a\colon X^* \to Y^*$. Then $\|f \circ a\| \leq c\frac{1}{c} = 1$ and $\varphi^*(f \circ a) = \iota^* \circ f \circ a = a$, which shows that a belongs to $\varphi^*(\mathrm{ball}_1(\mathcal{L}(X^*)))$, as desired.

As noted in Paragraph 2.4, we have $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y) \leq \frac{1}{c}$ if and only if there exists $f \in \mathcal{L}(X^*, Y^*)$ satisfying $\iota^* \circ f = \mathrm{id}_{X^*}$ and $\|f\| \leq \frac{1}{c}$. Thus, for each $c > 0$, we have $\frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y)} \geq c$ if and only if $j(\mathrm{id}_{X^*} \widehat{\otimes} \iota) \geq c$. This implies the desired equality whenever $j(\mathrm{id}_{X^*} \widehat{\otimes} \iota) > 0$ or $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y) < \infty$. It also implies that $j(\mathrm{id}_{X^*} \widehat{\otimes} \iota) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(X,Y) = \infty$, as desired. □

3. Preduals of Banach spaces

Throughout this section, X and Y will denote Banach spaces.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a Banach space. A *predual* of X is a Banach space Y together with an isomorphism $\delta\colon X \to Y^*$. The predual is called *isometric* if δ is an isometric isomorphism.

Two preduals (Y_1, δ_1) and (Y_2, δ_2) are *(isometrically) equivalent* if there exists an (isometric) isomorphism $\varphi\colon Y_2 \to Y_1$ such that $\delta_1 = \varphi^* \circ \delta_2$. This means that the following diagram commutes:



Every predual (Y, δ) induces a weak* topology on X. It is easy to see that two preduals induce the same weak* topology on X if and only if they are equivalent in the sense of Definition 3.1.

In the next paragraphs we show that preduals of X, up to the equivalence (as above) are in one-to-one correspondence with certain subspaces of X^*, and also with certain maps $X^{**} \to X$.

3.2. To each predual (Y,δ), we associate a canonical, equivalent predual (F_δ,δ'), which we will call the standard form of (Y,δ).

Given a predual (Y,δ), we consider the map $\delta^*\circ\kappa_Y\colon Y\to X^*$, and we let F_δ denote its image. Then $\varphi:=\delta^*\circ\kappa_Y\colon Y\to F_\delta$ is an isomorphism. Let $\iota\colon F_\delta\to X^*$ denote the inclusion map and set $\delta':=\iota^*\circ\kappa_X\colon X\to F_\delta^*$. Then δ' is an isomorphism, and thus (F_δ,δ') is a predual of X. Moreover, $\delta=\varphi^*\circ\delta'$, which shows that (Y,δ) and (F_δ,δ') are equivalent (and isometrically equivalent if (X,δ) is an isometric predual).

We call (F_δ,δ') the *standard form* of (Y,δ). Note that (F_δ,δ') only depends on the subset F_δ. It follows that two preduals (Y_1,δ_1) and (Y_2,δ_2) are equivalent if and only if $F_{\delta_1}=F_{\delta_2}$.

Since a predual (F,δ) in standard form only depends on F, we call $F\subseteq X^*$ a *concrete predual* of X. To simplify, and by abusing terminology, we will usually simply say that $F\subseteq X^*$ is a predual of X. Thus, a closed subspace $F\subseteq X^*$, with inclusion map $\iota\colon F\to X^*$, is a (isometric) predual of X if the map $\delta_F:=\iota^*\circ\kappa_X\colon X\to F^*$ is an (isometric) isomorphism. Note that we always have $\|\delta_F\|\leq 1$, so $F\subseteq X^*$ is isometric if and only if $\|\delta_F^{-1}\|\leq 1$.

If (Y_1,δ_1) and (Y_2,δ_2) are isometric preduals, then they are equivalent if and only if they are isometrically equivalent. Moreover, if (Y,δ) is an isometric predual, then so is its standard form, which is therefore isometrically equivalent to (Y,δ). The converse does not hold, that is, there are non-isometric preduals whose standard forms are isometric.

Definition 3.3. Let X be a Banach space, and let $F\subseteq X^*$ be a concrete predual. With $\iota\colon F\to X^*$ denoting the inclusion map, we set $\delta_F:=\iota^*\circ\kappa_X\colon X\to F^*$, as in Paragraph 2.2. We define the *isomorphism constant* of F as

$$n(X,F):=\|\delta_F^{-1}\|.$$

Further, we define the *predual constant* of X as

$$n(X):=\inf\left\{n(X,F):F\subseteq X^*\text{ predual}\right\}.$$

Remarks 3.4. (1) Let $F\subseteq X^*$ be a predual, and let $\delta_F\colon X\to F^*$ be the associated map as in Paragraph 2.2. Recall that the characteristic of F, denoted $r(F)$, agrees with the injection modulus of δ_F, denoted $j(\delta_F)$. If F is a predual, then δ_F is an isomorphism and $j(\delta_F)=\|\delta_F^{-1}\|^{-1}$. Thus, the isomorphism constant of F is the inverse of the characteristic of F:

$$n(X,F)=r(F)^{-1}=j(\delta_F)^{-1}.$$

(2) A predual $F\subseteq X^*$ is isometric if and only if $n(X,F)=1$.

(3) A closed subspace $F\subseteq X^*$ is called *total* if for every $x\in X$, there exists $f\in F$ with $\langle f,x\rangle\neq 0$. Thus, F is total if and only if δ_F is injective. Recall that $r(F)>0$ if and only if δ_F is bounded below. In particular, if $r(F)>0$, then F is total. The converse holds for all total subspaces of X^* if and only if X is quasi-reflexive, as shown in [DL72].

Recall that the Banach-Mazur distance between two Banach space X and Y is defined as

$$d(X,Y):=\inf\left\{\|\varphi\|\|\varphi^{-1}\|:\varphi\colon X\to Y\text{ isomorphism}\right\}.$$

In the following proposition, we show that $n(X)$ agrees with the Banach-Mazur distance between X and the class of dual Banach spaces.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a Banach space. Then*

$$n(X)=\inf\left\{d(X,F^*):F\text{ Banach space}\right\}.$$

Proof. For this proof, let d_0 denote the right hand side of the equation to be shown.

To show that $n(X) \leq d_0$, let Y be a Banach space, and let us verify $n(X) \leq d(X, Y^*)$. To that end, let $\delta\colon X \to Y^*$ be an isomorphism, so that (Y, δ) is a predual of X. Let F_δ be the associated concrete predual. It is straightforward to check that $\|\delta_{F_\delta}^{-1}\| \leq \|\delta\|\|\delta^{-1}\|$. It follows that

$$n(X) \leq n(X, F_\delta) = \|\delta_{F_\delta}^{-1}\| \leq \|\delta\|\|\delta^{-1}\|.$$

Taking the infimum over all isomorphisms $\delta\colon X \to Y^*$, we obtain that $n(X) \leq d(X, Y^*)$. Taking the infimum over all Y, we conclude that $n(x) \leq d_0$.

Conversely, to show that $d_0 \leq n(X)$, let $F \subseteq X^*$ be a concrete predual, and let us show that $d(X, F^*) \leq n(X, F)$. Since $\delta_F\colon X \to F^*$ is a contractive isomorphism, it follows that

$$D \leq d(X, F^*) \leq \|\delta_F^{-1}\| = n(X, F).$$

The inequality follows by taking the infimum over all concrete preduals of X. □

Remarks 3.6. (1) We have $n(X) < \infty$ if and only if X has a predual, that is, if and only if X is isomorphic to a dual space.

(2) The space X is isometrically isomorphic to a dual space if and only if X has an isometric predual. Moreover, in this case $n(X) = 1$. The converse is unclear.

Question 3.7. Let X be a Banach space with $n(X) = 1$. Does X have an isometric predual?

Remark 3.8. One can rephrase Question 3.7 as follows: Is the class of dual Banach spaces a closed subset in the space of all Banach spaces with the Banach-Mazur topology?

The logarithm of the Banach-Mazur distance is a pseudo-metric, that is, it is symmetric, satisfies the triangle inequality, and $\log d(X, X) = 0$ for every Banach space X. However, $\log d(X, Y) = 0$ (or equivalently $d(X, Y) = 1$) does not imply that X and Y are isometrically isomorphic; see [PB79, 2.1].

Thus, Question 3.7 can be subdivided into two subquestions: First, assuming $n(X) = 1$, does there exist a Banach space Y such that $d(X, Y^*) = 1$? Second, assuming $d(X, Y^*) = 1$ for some Banach space Y, does it follow that X has an isometric predual?

3.9. In this paragraph, we show that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between preduals in standard form and projections $X^{**} \to X$ with weak* closed kernels.

Let $F \subseteq X^*$ be a predual with inclusion map $\iota\colon F \to X^*$. Consider the isomorphism $\delta_F\colon X \to F^*$, and the map $\pi_F := \delta_F^{-1} \circ \kappa_F^* \circ \delta_F^{**}\colon X^{**} \to X$. We have $\kappa_F^* \circ \delta_F^{**} = \iota^*$, and therefore $\pi_F = \delta_F^{-1} \circ \iota^*$. These maps are shown in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{***} & \xleftarrow{\delta_F^{**}} & X^{**} \\ {\scriptstyle \kappa_F^*}\downarrow & \swarrow_{\iota^*} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_F} \\ F^* & \xrightarrow[\delta_F^{-1}]{} & X. \end{array}$$

It follows that $\pi_F \circ \kappa_X = \mathrm{id}_X$ and $\|\pi_F\| = \|\delta_F^{-1}\|$. We claim that the kernel of π_F is weak* closed. Indeed, assume that $(\sigma_j)_j$ is a net in $\ker(\pi_F)$ that converges weak* to σ in X^{**}. For each j, set $y_j := (\kappa_F^* \circ \delta_F^{**})(\sigma_j)$, and set $y := (\kappa_F^* \circ \delta_F^{**})(\sigma)$. It follows from $\sigma_j \in \ker(\pi_F)$ that $y_j = 0$. Since κ_F^* and δ_F^{**} are weak* continuous (for they are the duals of κ_F and δ_F^*, respectively), we obtain that $(y_j)_j$ converges weak* to y in F^*. It follows that $y = 0$, which in turn implies that $\sigma \in \ker(\pi_F)$, as desired.

Next, let $\pi\colon X^{**} \to X$ be a projection such that $\ker(\pi)$ is weak* closed in X^{**}. Define

$$F_\pi := \{\eta \in X^* : \langle \eta, x\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \ker(\pi)\},$$

which is sometimes called the *pre-annihilator* of $\ker(\pi)$ and also denoted by ${}^\perp\ker(\pi)$. Then F_π is a closed subspace of X^*. It is straightforward to check that F_π is a predual of X and that the map $X^{**} \to X$ associated to F_π is π. Conversely, given a predual $F \subseteq X^*$, the associated projection π_F satisfies $F_{\pi_F} = F$.

Thus, associating to a predual $F \subseteq X^*$ the map $\pi_F\colon X^{**} \to X$ defines a natural one-to-one correspondence between preduals of X and projections from X^{**} onto X that have weak* closed kernel. Moreover, we have $\|\pi_F\| = n(X,F)$, and thus *isometric* preduals of X correspond to *contractive* projections.

We have proved the following:

Proposition 3.10. *Let X be a Banach space. Then there are natural one-to-one correspondences between the following classes:*

1. *Preduals (Y,δ) of X, up to equivalence as defined in 3.1.*
2. *Projections π from X^{**} onto X such that $\ker(\pi)$ is weak* closed.*
3. *Concrete preduals, that is, closed subspaces $\iota\colon F \hookrightarrow X^*$ such that the map $\delta_F := \iota^* \circ \kappa_X$ is an isomorphism.*

Moreover, given a predual (Y,δ) with associated concrete predual $F_\delta \subseteq X^*$ and projection π_{F_δ}, we have $n(X,F_\delta) = \|\pi_{F_\delta}\|$ and

$$n(X,F_\delta) = \min\left\{\|\varrho\|\|\varrho^{-1}\| : (Y',\varrho) \text{ is a predual of } X \text{ equivalent to } (Y,\delta)\right\}.$$

Proposition 3.11. *Let X be a Banach space, and let $F \subseteq X^*$ be a predual. Then*

$$1 \le \lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*) \le n(X,F).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\lambda(X, X^{**}) \le n(X).$$

Proof. Recall from Paragraph 2.4 that $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F,X^*)$ agrees with

$$\inf\{\|\varphi\| : \varphi \in \mathcal{L}(F^*, X^{**}) \text{ with } \iota^* \circ \varphi = \mathrm{id}_{F^*}\}.$$

The different maps are shown in the following diagram:



We have $\iota^* \circ (\kappa_X \circ \delta_F^{-1}) = \mathrm{id}_{F^*}$. It follows that

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F,X^*) \le \|\kappa_X \circ \delta_F^{-1}\| = \|\delta_F^{-1}\| = n(X,F),$$

proving the first part of the statement.

To show that $\lambda(X,X^{**}) \le n(X)$, let $F \subseteq X^*$ be a predual. Then X is complemented in its bidual via the projection $\pi_F\colon X^{**} \to X$ from Paragraph 3.9, and $\|\pi_F\| = n(X,F)$. It follows that

$$\lambda(X,X^{**}) \le \|\pi_F\| = n(X,F).$$

Taking the infimum over all preduals of X, we obtain the desired inequality. □

Question 3.12. Given a Banach space X with a predual $F \subseteq X^*$, what are the possible values of $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*)$ and $n(X, F)$?

In particular, does there exist a Banach space X with a predual $F \subseteq X^*$ such that $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*) > 1$, or such that $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*) < n(X, F)$?

Is there a relationship between $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*)$ and $\lambda(X, X^{**})$? What are the possible values of $n(X)$?

Remarks 3.13. (1) If X is a reflexive space, then the only concrete predual $F \subseteq X^*$ is $F = X^*$. If X is non-reflexive, then X is isomorphic to a space Y such that Y has no isometric predual; see [DJ73]. This was generalized by Godun, [God82], who showed that every non-reflexive space X is isomorphic to a space Y such that $\lambda(Y, Y^{**}) \geq 2$ and thus $n(Y) \geq 2$ and $n(Y, F) \geq 2$ for every predual $F \subseteq Y^*$.

(2) Let $F \subseteq X^*$ be a predual. Then

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*) \leq \lambda(F, X^*) \leq \lambda(F, F^{**})\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*),$$

by Proposition 2.5. Further, it is easy to check that

$$\lambda(F, F^{**}) \leq \lambda(F, X^*).$$

Thus, if $\lambda(F, F^{**}) = 1$ (for example, if F has itself an isometric predual), then $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, X^*) = \lambda(F, X^*)$. However, we do not know any predual $F \subseteq X^*$ with $\lambda(F, F^{**}) = 1$ and $\lambda(F, X^*) > 1$. It would also be interesting to know if there exists a predual $F \subseteq X^*$ such that F is 1-complemented in its bidual but not 1-complemented in X^*.

3.14. Assume that X has a (isometric) predual. One says that X has a *unique (isometric) predual* if for any (isometric) preduals (Y_1, δ_1) and (Y_2, δ_2) the spaces Y_1 and Y_2 are (isometrically) isomorphism. Equivalently, any two (isometric) preduals $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X^*$ are (isometrically) isomorphic as Banach spaces.

We say that X has a *strongly unique predual* if X has a predual and any two preduals of X are equivalent in the sense of Definition 3.1. Analogously, X has a *strongly unique isometric predual* if X has an isometric predual and if any two isometric preduals of X are equivalent (or equivalently, isometrically equivalent). Thus, X has strongly unique (isometric) predual if and only if there exists precisely one closed subspace $F \subseteq X^*$ such that the associated map $\delta_F \colon X \to F^*$ is an (isometric) isomorphism. Equivalently, there exists precisely one (contractive) projection $X^{**} \to X$ with weak* closed kernel; see Proposition 3.10.

It is an interesting and well-studied problem to determine which Banach spaces have a (strongly) unique (isometric) predual. We refer to [God89] for a survey of the topic.

4. The map $\alpha_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$

Throughout this section, X and Y will denote Banach spaces. We define a natural product on $\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$, giving it the structure of a unital Banach algebra; see Paragraph 4.9. We then show that the space $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ has a natural $\mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**})$-$\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$-bimodule structure; see Paragraph 4.12. We define a natural map $\alpha_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$; see Definition 4.17.

The space $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ has a natural $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule structure, which induces a $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}, \square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}, \lozenge)$-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**}$; see Paragraph 4.1. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.22, where we show that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ intertwines the different bimodule structures in the sense that

$$\alpha_{X,Y}(FS) = \alpha_{X,Y}(F)\alpha_X(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{X,Y}(TF) = \alpha_Y(T)\alpha_{X,Y}(F),$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**}$, $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}$.

For $X = Y$, we conclude that the map $\alpha_X \colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$ is multiplicative when $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ is equipped with *either* Arens product; see Corollary 4.24.

4.1 ($\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ as $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule)**.** Given $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, we define the right action of a on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ by $fa = f \circ a$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. Analogously, given $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$, we define the left action of b on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ by $bf = b \circ f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. It is immediate that this defines a natural $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. It follows from Corollary A.16 that $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ has a natural $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}, \square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}, \lozenge)$-bimodule structure.

4.2. Given $x \in X$, we consider the map $x \otimes _ \colon Y \to X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y$ that maps $y \in Y$ to $x \otimes y$. Given $\eta \in X^*$, we consider the slice map $R_\eta \colon X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y \to Y$ which on simple tensors is given by $R_\eta(x \otimes y) = \langle \eta, x \rangle y$, for $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then R_η is linear and $\|R_\eta\| = \|\eta\|$.

If $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ satisfy $\langle \eta, x \rangle = 1$, then $R_\eta \circ (x \otimes _) = \mathrm{id}_Y$. This means that the following diagram commutes:



4.3. Given $y \in Y$ and $\eta \in X^*$, we let $\theta_{y,\eta}$ denote the rank-one operator in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ given by $\theta_{y,\eta}(x) = \langle \eta, x \rangle y$ for all $x \in X$. It is easy to check that for $y \in Y$, $\eta \in X^*$, $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$, we have

$$\theta_{y,\eta} a = \theta_{y,a^*\eta}, \quad b\theta_{y,\eta} = \theta_{by,\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{y,\eta}^* = \theta_{\eta,\kappa_Y(y)}.$$

Moreover, $\|\theta_{y,\eta}\| = \|y\| \cdot \|\eta\|$. For $x, x' \in X$ and $\eta, \eta' \in X^*$, we have

$$\theta_{x,\eta}\theta_{x',\eta'} = \langle \eta, x' \rangle \theta_{x,\eta'}.$$

Given $\eta \in X^*$, we consider the map $\Theta_\eta \colon Y \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ defined by $\Theta_\eta(y) = \theta_{y,\eta}$ for all $y \in Y$. Then Θ_η is linear and $\|\Theta_\eta\| = \|\eta\|$. If $\|\eta\| = 1$, then Θ_η is isometric.

Given $x \in X$, recall that $\mathrm{ev}_x \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to Y$ denotes the evaluation map, defined by $\mathrm{ev}_x(f) = f(x)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. Then ev_x is linear and $\|\mathrm{ev}_x\| = \|x\|$. If $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ satisfy $\langle \eta, x \rangle = 1$, then $\mathrm{ev}_x \circ \Theta_\eta = \mathrm{id}_Y$. This means that the following diagram commutes:



Recall from Paragraph 2.6 that there is a canonical, isometric isomorphism $(X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$. Given $x \in X$, we claim that the map $\mathrm{ev}_x \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) \to Y^*$ is the transpose of the map $x \otimes _ \colon Y \to Y$ from Paragraph 4.2. Indeed, given $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ and $y \in Y$ we have

$$\langle \mathrm{ev}_x(f), y \rangle = \langle fx, y \rangle = \langle f, x \otimes y \rangle .$$

Similarly, given $\eta \in X^*$, the map $\Theta_\eta \colon Y^* \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ is the transpose of the map $R_\eta \colon X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y \to Y$ from Paragraph 4.2. Indeed, given $\varphi \in Y^*$ and a simple tensor $x \otimes y \in X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y$, we have

$$\langle \Theta_\eta(\varphi), x \otimes y \rangle = \langle \theta_{\varphi,\eta} x, y \rangle = \langle \eta, x \rangle \langle \varphi, y \rangle = \langle \varphi, \langle \eta, x \rangle y \rangle = \langle \varphi, R_\eta(x \otimes y) \rangle .$$

It follows that $\Theta_\eta = R_\eta^*$. In particular, the maps $\mathrm{ev}_x \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) \to Y^*$ and $\Theta_\eta \colon Y^* \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ are weak* continuous.

Proposition 4.4. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We identify $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ with $(X \widehat{\otimes} Y)^*$ as in Paragraph 2.6, and we consider $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ with the induced weak* topology. We consider $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ with its $\mathcal{L}(Y^*)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule structure as in Paragraph 4.1.*

Let $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*)$. Then the action of a on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ is weak continuous. The action of b on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ is weak* continuous if and only if there exists $c \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ such that $b = c^*$.*

Proof. Let $(f_j)_{j \in J}$ be a net in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ that converges weak* to f. Let $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. By the uniform boundedness principle, the family $\{f_j : j \in J\}$ is bounded, and hence so is $\{f_j a : j \in J\}$. Therefore, to show that $(f_j a)_j$ converges weak* to fa, it is enough to verify that $(\langle f_j a, x \otimes y\rangle)_j$ converges to $\langle fa, x \otimes y\rangle$ for every simple tensor $x \otimes y \in X \widehat{\otimes} Y$. We have

$$\langle f_j a, x \otimes y\rangle = \langle f_j ax, y\rangle = \langle f_j, ax \otimes y\rangle \to \langle f, ax \otimes y\rangle = \langle fa, x \otimes y\rangle,$$

as desired.

Let $c \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$. Again, to show that $(c^* f_j)_j$ converges weak* to $c^* f$, it is enough to verify that $(\langle c^* f_j, x \otimes y\rangle)_j$ converges to $\langle c^* f, x \otimes y\rangle$ for every simple tensor $x \otimes y \in X \widehat{\otimes} Y$. We have

$$\langle c^* f_j, x \otimes y\rangle = \langle f_j x, cy\rangle = \langle f_j, x \otimes cy\rangle \to \langle f, x \otimes cy\rangle = \langle c^* f, x \otimes y\rangle .$$

Next, let $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*)$, and let $L_b \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ denote the left action of b on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$. Choose $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ with $\langle \eta, x\rangle = 1$. Consider the maps $\mathrm{ev}_x \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*) \to Y^*$ and $\Theta_\eta \colon Y^* \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$ from Paragraph 4.3. We claim that the composition $\mathrm{ev}_x \circ L_b \circ \Theta_\eta \colon Y^* \to Y^*$ coincides with b. Indeed, for every $\varphi \in Y^*$, we have

$$(\mathrm{ev}_x \circ L_b \circ \Theta_\eta)(\varphi) = (b\Theta_\eta(\varphi))(x) = b(\theta_{\varphi,\eta}(x)) = b(\langle \eta, x\rangle \varphi) = b(\varphi).$$

Now assume that L_b is weak* continuous. By Paragraph 4.3, the maps ev_x and Θ_η are weak* continuous as well. It follows that b, as map $Y^* \to Y^*$, is weak* continuous. This implies that there exists $c \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ such that $b = c^*$, as desired. □

The two parts of the following result have previously been obtained by Spain, [Spa15, Theorem 4.2], and Daws, [Daw04b, Proposition 4.2.1]. We give further characterizations of the reflexivity of X in terms of properties of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(X)$ in Corollary 7.4.

Corollary 4.5 (Daws, [Daw04b], Spain, [Spa15])**.** *Let X be a Banach space. Identify $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ with $(X^* \widehat{\otimes} X)^*$ as in Paragraph 2.6, and consider $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ with the induced weak* topology. Then $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is a right dual Banach algebra.*

Further, $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is a left dual Banach algebra if and only if X is reflexive.

Proof. By definition, $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is a right dual Banach algebra if for every $a \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ the right multiplication by a is weak* continuous. This follows immediately from Proposition 4.4.

Further, $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is a left dual Banach algebra if for every $b \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ the left multiplication by b is weak* continuous. By Proposition 4.4, left multiplication by b is weak* continuous if and only if there exists $c \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $b = c^*$. Thus, $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is a left dual Banach algebra if and only if for every $b \in \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ there is $c \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $b = c^*$, which is easily seen to be equivalent to the condition that X be reflexive. □

4.6. As noted in Paragraph 2.6, for every Banach spaces X and Y there is a canonical, isometric isomorphism $(X \widehat{\otimes} Y)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$. In particular, there is an identification

$$(X \widehat{\otimes} Y^*)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**}),$$

under which an operator $f\colon X \to Y^{**}$ corresponds to the functional on $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$, which on a simple tensor $x\otimes y$ is given by $\langle f, x\otimes y\rangle = \langle f(x), y\rangle$. Analogously, there is an identification

$$(Y^*\widehat{\otimes}X)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(Y^*, X^*),$$

under which an operator $g\colon Y^* \to X^*$ corresponds to the functional on $Y^*\widehat{\otimes}X$ which on a simple tensor $\eta\otimes x$ is given by $\langle g, \eta\otimes x\rangle = \langle g(\eta), x\rangle$.

Let $\mu_{X,Y}\colon Y^*\widehat{\otimes}X \to X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ be the (unique) natural isometric isomorphism that satisfies $\mu_{X,Y}(\eta\otimes x) = x\otimes\eta$ for all $x\in X$ and $\eta\in Y^*$.

Definition 4.7. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Let $\mu_{X,Y}\colon Y^*\widehat{\otimes}X \to X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ be the flip isomorphism from Paragraph 4.6. Identifying $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with $(X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*)^*$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*)$ with $(Y^*\widehat{\otimes}X)^*$, we let $\psi_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*)$ be the map induced by the transpose of $\mu_{X,Y}$. We write ψ_X for $\psi_{X,X}$.

Thus, $\psi_{X,Y}$ is given by the following composition of isometric isomorphisms:

$$\psi_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \cong (X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*)^* \xrightarrow{\mu_{X,Y}^*} (Y^*\widehat{\otimes}X)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*).$$

The next result gives an explicit description of $\psi_{X,Y}$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $\psi_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*)$ be the map from Definition 4.7. Then $\psi_{X,Y}$ is a weak* continuous, isometric isomorphism satisfying*

$$\psi_{X,Y}(f) = f^*\circ\kappa_{Y^*}\colon Y^* \to X^* \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{X,Y}^{-1}(g) = g^*\circ\kappa_X\colon X\to Y^{**},$$

for all $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ and $g\in\mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*)$.

Proof. By the comments in Paragraph 4.6, $\psi_{X,Y}$ is an isometric isomorphism. Given $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$, let us verify that $\psi_{X,Y}(f) = f^*\circ\kappa_{Y^*}$. For a simple tensor $\eta\otimes x\in Y^*\otimes X$, we have

$$\langle\psi_{X,Y}(f), \eta\otimes x\rangle = \langle f, x\otimes\eta\rangle = \langle f(x),\eta\rangle = \langle x, f^*(\kappa_{Y^*}(\eta))\rangle = \langle f^*\circ\kappa_{Y^*}, \eta\otimes x\rangle\,.$$

It follows that $\psi_{X,Y}(f) = f^*\circ\kappa_{Y^*}$, as desired.

Given $g\in\mathcal{L}(Y^*,X^*)$, let us verify that $\psi_{X,Y}^{-1}(g) = g^*\circ\kappa_X$. We have

$$\psi_{X,Y}(g^*\circ\kappa_X) = (g^*\circ\kappa_X)^*\circ\kappa_{Y^*} = \kappa_X^*\circ g^{**}\circ\kappa_{Y^*} = g,$$

which implies the desired equality. □

4.9 (The Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$)**.** The space $\mathcal{L}(X^*,X^*) = \mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is a Banach algebra. This can be used to induce a multiplication on $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$. However, the 'natural' multiplication on $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$ is defined as follows.

Given $a,b\in\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$, we define their product as $ab = \kappa_{X^*}^*\circ a^{**}\circ b$, which is the following composition of operators:

$$ab\colon X \xrightarrow{b} X^{**} \xrightarrow{a^{**}} X^{****} \xrightarrow{\kappa_{X^*}^*} X^{**}.$$

It is straightforward to check that this gives $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$ the structure of a unital Banach algebra, the unit being κ_X.

Proposition 4.10. *Let X be a Banach space. Then the map*

$$\psi_X\colon \mathcal{L}(X,X^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X^*)$$

from Definition 4.7 is a weak continuous, isometric anti-isomorphism of Banach algebras.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.8, it only remains to show that ψ_X is anti-multiplicative. Given $a, b \in \mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$, we use that $a^{***} \circ \kappa_{X^*}^{**} = \kappa_{X^*} \circ a^*$ at the fourth step to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_X(ab) &= \psi_X(\kappa_{X^*}^* \circ a^{**} \circ b) = (\kappa_{X^*}^* \circ a^{**} \circ b)^* \circ \kappa_{X^*} = b^* \circ a^{***} \circ \kappa_{X^*}^{**} \circ \kappa_{X^*} \\ &= b^* \circ \kappa_{X^*} \circ a^* \circ \kappa_{X^*} = \psi_X(b)\psi_X(a),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Remark 4.11. We observed in Corollary 4.5 that $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ is always a right dual Banach algebra, and that it is a left dual Banach algebra if and only if X is reflexive.

Since the isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$ and $\mathcal{L}(X^*)$ from Proposition 4.10 is anti-multiplicative, $\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$ is always a *left* dual Banach algebra, and it is a right dual Banach algebra if and only if X is reflexive.

4.12 ($\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ as a $\mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**})$-$\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$-bimodule)**.** Analogously to the definition of the product in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$, we define a right action of $\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$ on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ by setting

$$fa = \kappa_{Y^*}^* \circ f^{**} \circ a,$$

for $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ and $a \in \mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$. Similarly, we define a left action of $\mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**})$ on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ by setting

$$bf = \kappa_{Y^*}^* \circ b^{**} \circ f,$$

for $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ and $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**})$. This defines a natural $\mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**})$-$\mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$. Next, we show that the left action is weak* continuous.

Proposition 4.13. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Let $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**})$. Then the left action of b on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ defined in Paragraph 4.12 is weak* continuous.*

Proof. Let $\psi_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^*, X^*)$ and $\psi_Y \colon \mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^*)$ be the isometric isomorphisms from Definition 4.7. Given $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Y^{**})$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$, it is straightforward to check that

$$\psi_{X,Y}(bf) = \psi_{X,Y}(f)\psi_Y(b).$$

Now the desired result follows at once using that $\psi_{X,Y}$ is weak* continuous and using that right action of $\psi_Y(b)$ on $\mathcal{L}(Y^*, X^*)$ is weak* continuous by Proposition 4.4. □

4.14. Given Banach spaces X and Y, we let $\gamma_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ be the map given by $\gamma_{X,Y}(f) = \kappa_Y \circ f$, for all $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Then $\gamma_{X,Y}$ is an isometric, linear map. We write γ_X for $\gamma_{X,X}$.

We give $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ the natural $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule structure from Paragraph 4.1 (by pre- and postcomposing of maps). Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. Given $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, we have

$$\gamma_{X,Y}(fa) = \kappa_Y \circ f \circ a = \kappa_{Y^*}^* \circ (\kappa_Y \circ f)^{**} \circ \kappa_X \circ a = \gamma_{X,Y}(f)\gamma_X(a).$$

Similarly, given $b \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$, we have

$$\gamma_{X,Y}(bf) = \kappa_Y \circ b \circ f = \kappa_{Y^*}^* \circ (\kappa_Y \circ b)^{**} \circ \kappa_Y \circ f = \gamma_Y(b)\gamma_{X,Y}(f).$$

Thus, $\gamma_{X,Y}$ is a $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule map. It follows that $\gamma_X \colon \mathcal{L}(X) \to \mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$ is a homomorphism of Banach algebras.

Let $\psi_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^*, X^*)$ be the isomorphism from Definition 4.7. Then the composition $\psi_{X,Y} \circ \gamma_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \to \mathcal{L}(Y^*, X^*)$ is given by the transpose map $f \mapsto f^*$.

4.15 ($\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ as a $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**},\square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**},\lozenge)$-bimodule)**.** Given Banach spaces X and Y, the projective tensor product $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ has a natural $\mathcal{L}(X)$-$\mathcal{L}(Y)$-bimodule structure determined by

$$a(x\otimes\eta) := (ax)\otimes\eta \quad\text{and}\quad (x\otimes\eta)b := x\otimes(b^*\eta),$$

for all $a\in\mathcal{L}(X)$, $b\in\mathcal{L}(Y)$, $x\in X$, and $\eta\in Y^*$.

We identify $(X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*)^*$ with $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ as in Paragraph 4.6. It follows that $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ has a $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**},\square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**},\lozenge)$-bimodule structure; see Corollary A.16.

Let $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$. Given $a\in\mathcal{L}(X)$, we claim that

$$f\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)}(a) = f\gamma_X(a).$$

Indeed, given a simple tensor $x\otimes\eta$ in $X\otimes Y^*$, we have

$$\langle f\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)}(a), x\otimes\eta\rangle = \langle f, a(x\otimes\eta)\rangle = \langle f, (ax)\otimes\eta\rangle = \langle f(ax),\eta\rangle = \langle f\circ a, x\otimes\eta\rangle.$$

It follows that the right action of $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(Y)}(a)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ is given by precomposing with a, which agrees with the right action of $\gamma_X(a)$.

A similar argument shows that

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(Y)}(b)f = b^{**}\circ f = \gamma_Y(b)f,$$

for all $b\in\mathcal{L}(Y)$.

4.16. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We define a natural, contractive, linear map

$$\omega_{X,Y}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*,$$

as follows: given $x\in X$ and $\eta\in Y^*$, consider the functional $\omega_{x,\eta}$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ defined by $\omega_{x,\eta}(f) := \langle fx,\eta\rangle$, for all $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. This induces a bilinear map $X\times Y^*\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ by $(x,\eta)\mapsto\omega_{x,\eta}$. By the universal property of the algebraic tensor product $X\otimes Y^*$, there is a unique linear map $\omega_0\colon X\otimes Y^*\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ satisfying $\omega_0(x\otimes\eta)=\omega_{x,\eta}$ for all $x\in X$ and $\eta\in Y^*$. We have

$$\|\omega_0(t)(f)\| = \left\|\sum_{k=1}^n\langle fx_k,\eta_k\rangle\right\| \le \|f\|\sum_{k=1}^n\|x_k\|\|\eta_k\|,$$

for every $t=\sum_{k=1}^n x_k\otimes\eta_k\in X\otimes Y^*$ and every $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. It follows that ω_0 extends to a contractive, linear map $\omega_{X,Y}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$.

Definition 4.17. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Identifying $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with the dual of $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ as in Paragraph 4.6, we define the map

$$\alpha_{X,Y}\colon\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$$

as the transpose of the map $\omega_{X,Y}$ from Paragraph 4.16. We write α_X for $\alpha_{X,X}$.

Remark 4.18. The map $\omega_{X,Y}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ from Paragraph 4.16 is contractive, and consequently so is its transpose $\alpha_{X,Y}$. It also follows from general results that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is surjective (a quotient map) if and only if $\omega_{X,Y}$ is bounded below (isometric); see Paragraph 2.1.

If X has the bounded approximation property (metric approximation property), then $\omega_{X,Y}$ is bounded below (isometric) and $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is surjective (a quotient map). However, there are examples of Banach spaces X and Y such that $\omega_{X,Y}$ is not even injective and thus $\alpha_{X,Y}$ does not even have dense image; see [HS12] and [DF93, 5.7 Corollary 3, p.65]. These and related questions are also studied in subsequent work, [GT16].

Lemma 4.19. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. For $x \in X$, let $\mathrm{ev}_x \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to Y$ denote the evaluation map; see Paragraph 4.3. Let $\alpha_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ denote the map from Definition 4.17. Then*

$$\alpha_{X,Y}(F)x = \mathrm{ev}_x^{**}(F),$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Let $r_x \colon Y^* \to X \widehat{\otimes} Y^*$ be given by $r_x(\eta) = x \otimes \eta$, for all $\eta \in Y^*$. It is easily verified that $\omega_{X,Y} \circ r_x = \mathrm{ev}_x^*$. It follows that $r_x^* \circ \alpha_{X,Y} = \mathrm{ev}_x^{**}$. In other words, the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* & \xrightarrow{\omega_{X,Y}} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^* \\ & {\scriptstyle r_x}\nwarrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}_x^*} & \\ & Y^* & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{X,Y}} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \\ & {\scriptstyle r_x^*}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}_x^{**}} & \\ & Y^{**}. & \end{array}$$

For $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$, we have $f(x) = r_x^*(f)$. Using this at the first step for $f = \alpha(F)$, we obtain that

$$\alpha(F)x = r_x^*(\alpha(F)) = \mathrm{ev}_x^{**}(F),$$

as desired. □

The next result shows that the bimodule structures of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ defined in Paragraphs 4.12 and 4.15 are naturally related.

Lemma 4.20. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. We consider the space $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with its $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**},\square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**},\lozenge)$-bimodule structure defined in Paragraph 4.15, and with its $\mathcal{L}(Y,Y^{**})$-$\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$-bimodule structure defined in Paragraph 4.12. We let $\gamma_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ be the map from Paragraph 4.14.*

Given $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$, we have

$$fS = f\alpha_X(S).$$

Given $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, we have

$$T\gamma_{X,Y}(g) = \alpha_Y(T)\gamma_{X,Y}(g).$$

Proof. Let $n \colon \mathcal{L}(X) \times (X \widehat{\otimes} Y^*) \to (X \widehat{\otimes} Y^*)$ be the bilinear map implementing the left action of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ on $X \widehat{\otimes} Y^*$. Identifying the dual of $X \widehat{\otimes} Y^*$ with $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ as in Paragraph 4.6, we consider n' and n'' (see Paragraph A.6 for the definitions) as maps

$$n' \colon (X \widehat{\otimes} Y^*) \times \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X)^*, \quad n'' \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \times \mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}).$$

Claim 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$, $x \in X$ and $\eta \in Y^*$. Then

$$n'(x \otimes \eta, f) = (\mathrm{ev}_x^* \circ f^* \circ \kappa_{Y^*})(\eta).$$

To verify the claim, let $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n'(x \otimes \eta, f), a \rangle &= \langle f, n(a, x \otimes \eta) \rangle = \langle f(ax), \eta \rangle = \langle ax, f^*(\kappa_{Y^*}(\eta)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathrm{ev}_x(a), f^*(\kappa_{Y^*}(\eta)) \rangle = \langle (\mathrm{ev}_x^* \circ f^* \circ \kappa_{Y^*})(\eta), a \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Now, let $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$. To verify that $n''(f,S) = f\alpha_X(S)$, let $x \in X$ and $\eta \in Y^*$. Using Lemma 4.19, we have

$$(f\alpha_X(S))x = (\kappa_{Y^*} \circ f^{**} \circ \alpha_X(S))(x) = \kappa_{Y^*}(f^{**}(\mathrm{ev}_x^{**}(S))).$$

Using this at the last step, and using Claim 1 at the third step, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle n''(f,S)x, \eta \rangle &= \langle n''(f,S), x \otimes \eta \rangle = \langle S, n'(x \otimes \eta, f) \rangle = \langle S, (\mathrm{ev}_x^* \circ f^* \circ \kappa_{Y^*})(\eta) \rangle \\ &= \langle \kappa_{Y^*}(f^{**}(\mathrm{ev}_x^{**}(S))), \eta \rangle = \langle (f\alpha_X(S))x, \eta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $\eta \in Y^*$ and $x \in X$, we obtain the desired equality.

Let $m\colon (X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*)\times\mathcal{L}(Y)\to(X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*)$ be the bilinear map implementing the right action of $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ on $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$; see Paragraph 4.15. We consider $m^{\backprime}$ and $m^{\backprime\backprime}$ as maps

$$m^{\backprime}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})\times(X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*)\to\mathcal{L}(Y)^*,\quad m^{\backprime\backprime}\colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}\times\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}).$$

Let $\omega_Y\colon Y\widehat{\otimes}Y^*\to\mathcal{L}(Y)^*$ be the map from Paragraph 4.16.

Claim 2. Let $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, $x\in X$ and $\eta\in Y^*$. Then

$$m^{\backprime}(\gamma_{X.Y}(f),x\otimes\eta)=\omega_Y(fx\otimes\eta).$$

To prove the claim, let $b\in\mathcal{L}(Y)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m^{\backprime}(\gamma_{X.Y}(f),x\otimes\eta),b\rangle&=\langle\gamma_{X.Y}(f),m(x\otimes\eta,b)\rangle=\langle\kappa_Y\circ f,x\otimes b^*\eta\rangle\\&=\langle bfx,\eta\rangle=\langle b,\omega_Y(fx\otimes\eta)\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Now, let $T\in\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}$ and $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. To verify that

$$m^{\backprime\backprime}(T,\gamma_{X,Y}(f))=\alpha_Y(T)\gamma_{X,Y}(f),$$

let $x\in X$ and $\eta\in Y^*$. Using Claim 2 at the second step, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m^{\backprime\backprime}(T,\gamma_{X,Y}(f))x,\eta\rangle&=\langle T,m^{\backprime}(\gamma_{X.Y}(f),x\otimes\eta)\rangle=\langle T,\omega_Y(fx\otimes\eta)\rangle\\&=\langle\alpha_Y(T),fx\otimes\eta\rangle=\langle\alpha_Y(T)\gamma_{X.Y}(f)x,\eta\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $x\in X$ and $\eta\in Y^*$, the desired equality is proved. □

In the next results, we consider $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with its natural $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule structure as in Paragraph 4.1. By Remark A.2, this induces a $\mathcal{L}(X)$-$\mathcal{L}(Y)$-bimodule structure on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$. We give $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ its natural $\mathcal{L}(X)$-$\mathcal{L}(Y)$-bimodule structure as in Paragraph 4.15. We give $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ their $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**},\square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**},\lozenge)$-bimodule structures defined in Paragraphs 4.1 and 4.15.

Lemma 4.21. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Then, the map $\omega_{X,Y}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ from Paragraph 4.16 is a $\mathcal{L}(X)$-$\mathcal{L}(Y)$-bimodule map. Further, the map $\alpha_{X,Y}\colon\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ from Definition 4.17 is a $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**},\square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**},\lozenge)$-bimodule map.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $\omega_{X,Y}$ is a $\mathcal{L}(X)$-$\mathcal{L}(Y)$-bimodule map. It then follows from Lemma A.17 that $\alpha_{X,Y}$, which is defined as the transpose of $\omega_{X,Y}$, is a $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**},\square)$-$(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**},\lozenge)$-bimodule map. □

Theorem 4.22. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Consider the maps $\gamma_{X,Y}$ and $\alpha_{X,Y}$ from Paragraph 4.14 and Definition 4.17. Then $\alpha_{X,Y}\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}=\gamma_{X,Y}$. In other words, the following diagram commutes:*



Further, we have

$$\alpha_{X,Y}(FS)=\alpha_{X,Y}(F)\alpha_X(S)\quad\text{and}\quad\alpha_{X,Y}(TF)=\alpha_Y(T)\alpha_{X,Y}(F),$$

for all $F\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$, $S\in\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ and $T\in\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}$.

Proof. Given $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, we use Lemma 4.19 at the first step to compute

$$(\alpha_{X,Y}\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)})(f)x=\mathrm{ev}_x^{**}(\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)}(f))=\kappa_Y(f(x))=\gamma_{X,Y}(f)x$$

for all $x\in X$. It follows that

$$\alpha_{X,Y}\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}=\gamma_{X,Y}.\tag{1}$$

Let $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$. Using that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is a right $(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}, \Diamond)$-module map (see Lemma 4.21) at the first step, and using Lemma 4.20 at the second step, we obtain that

$$\alpha_{X,Y}(FS) = \alpha_{X,Y}(F)S = \alpha_{X,Y}(F)\alpha_X(S),$$

as desired.

Let $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}$. We write κ for $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. Choose a net $(f_j)_j$ in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ such that $(\kappa(f_j))_j$ converges weak* to F.

Let $n\colon \mathcal{L}(Y) \times \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be the bilinear map implementing the action of $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$; see Paragraph 4.1. By definition (see Corollary A.16), we have $TF = n''(F,S)$. By Lemma A.8, n'' is weak* continuous in its second variable. Using this at the first step, and using that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is weak* continuous at the second step, we obtain that

$$\alpha_{X,Y}(TF) = \alpha_{X,Y}(\mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j T\kappa(f_j)) = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j \alpha_{X,Y}(T\kappa(f_j)). \tag{2}$$

Applying that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is a left $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}, \Box)$-module map (see Lemma 4.21) at the first step, using (1) at the second step, and using Lemma 4.20 at the third step, we deduce that

$$\alpha_{X,Y}(T\kappa(f_j)) = T\alpha_{X,Y}(\kappa(f_j)) = T\gamma_{X,Y}(f_j) = \alpha_Y(T)\gamma_{X,Y}(f_j), \tag{3}$$

for each j.

Applying (3) for each j at the first step, using at the second step that left action of $\alpha_Y(T)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ is weak* continuous by Proposition 4.13, using (1) at the third step, and using that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is weak* continuous at the last step, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j \alpha_{X,Y}(T\kappa(f_j)) &= \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j \alpha_Y(T)\gamma_{X,Y}(f_j) = \alpha_Y(T)(\mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j \gamma_{X,Y}(f_j)) \\ &= \alpha_Y(T)(\mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j \alpha_{X,Y}(\kappa(f_j))) = \alpha_Y(T)\alpha_{X,Y}(F),\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2) gives the desired equality. □

Remark 4.23. As shown in Theorem 4.22, the map $\alpha_{X,Y}$ intertwines the right action of $(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}, \Diamond)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and the right action of $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$. Similarly, $\alpha_{X,Y}$ intertwines the the left action of $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}, \Box)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and the left action of $\mathcal{L}(Y,Y^{**})$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$.

While these statements are completely symmetric, the proofs and underlying reasonings are not. The intertwining of the right actions follows essentially from Lemma 4.20, where it is shown that the right action of $(\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}, \Diamond)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ induces an action of $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$. We do not have an analogous result to show that the left action of $(\mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}, \Box)$ on $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ induces an action of $\mathcal{L}(Y,Y^{**})$. More precisely, given $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y)^{**}$, it is not clear that

$$Tf = \alpha_Y(T)f.$$

However, it follows from Theorem 4.22 that this holds *a fortiori* if f is in the image of $\alpha_{X,Y}$. In some case $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is surjective; see Remark 4.18.

Corollary 4.24. *Let X be a Banach space, and let $\alpha_X\colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$ be the map from Definition 4.17. Then*

$$\alpha_X(S \Diamond T) = \alpha_X(S)\alpha_X(T) = \alpha_X(S \Box T),$$

for all $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$. In other words, α_X is multiplicative when $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ is equipped with either Arens product.

Proof. Let $p\colon \mathcal{L}(X)\times\mathcal{L}(X)\to\mathcal{L}(X)$ denote the product, and let $S,T\in\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$. We have $S\square T = p'''(S,T)$, which means that $S\square T$ is given by the right action of T on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$. It follows from Theorem 4.22 that

$$\alpha_X(S\square T) = \alpha_{X,X}(S\square T) = \alpha_{X,X}(S)\alpha_X(T) = \alpha_X(S)\alpha_X(T),$$

as desired. The other identity is proved analogously. □

Remarks 4.25. Adopt the notation from Corollary 4.24.

(1) By Corollary 4.24, the map α_X is multiplicative for either Arens product on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is not Arens regular, then the elements $S\square T - S\lozenge T$ belong to the kernel of α_X for all $S,T\in\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$.

(2) Assume that X is reflexive. Identifying X^{**} with X, we can consider α_X as a map $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}\to\mathcal{L}(X)$, which by Corollary 4.24 is a homomorphism for both Arens products on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$. In Corollary 7.4 we prove a converse to this statement: If there exists a map $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**}\to\mathcal{L}(X)$ that is multiplicative for either Arens product and satisfies $r\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$, then X is reflexive.

(3) The map α_X is not always surjective; see Remark 4.18.

5. Complementation of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ in its bidual

Throughout this section, X and Y will denote Banach spaces. We will frequently use the maps $\gamma_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ and $\alpha_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ from Paragraph 4.14 and Definition 4.17. Recall that $\mathcal{A}(X)$ denotes the algebra of approximable operators on X.

The main result of this section is Theorem 5.7, where we show that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between projections from Y^{**} onto Y and projections from $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ onto $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ that are right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module maps.

It follows that Y is λ-complemented in its bidual if and only if $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is λ-complemented in its bidual (as a Banach space or, equivalently, as a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module); see Corollary 5.8.

5.1. Let $\pi\colon Y^{**}\to Y$ be a bounded linear map. We define $q_\pi\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ by $q_\pi(f)x = \pi(fx)$, for all $f\in\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ and $x\in X$, and we define $r_\pi\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ by $r_\pi = q_\pi\circ\alpha_{X,Y}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\pi\colon Y^{**}\to Y$ be a bounded linear map satisfying $\pi\circ\kappa_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y$. Let q_π and r_π be the maps from Paragraph 5.1. Then:*

(1) We have $q_\pi\circ\gamma_{X,Y} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$, $\|q_\pi\|\le\|\pi\|$, and q_π is a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map.

(2) We have $r_\pi\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$, $\|r_\pi\|\le\|\pi\|$, and r_π is a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map.

Proof. (1) is straightforward to check. Let us verify (2). We have $\alpha_{X,Y}\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \gamma_{X,Y}$ by Theorem 4.22. Using this at the second step, and using (1) at the last step, we obtain that

$$r_\pi\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = q_\pi\circ\alpha_{X,Y}\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = q_\pi\circ\gamma_{X,Y} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}.$$

The estimate for $\|r_\pi\|$ follows easily using that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is contractive. Lastly, the map r_π is a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map since both $\alpha_{X,Y}$ and q_π are right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module maps, by (1) and Lemma 4.21. □

5.3. Let $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a bounded linear map, let $x\in X$ and let $\eta\in X^*$. Let $\Theta_\eta\colon Y\to\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{ev}_x\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)\to Y$ be as in Paragraph 4.3. We

define the map $\pi_{r,x,\eta}\colon Y^{**} \to Y$ by $\pi_{r,x,\eta} := \mathrm{ev}_x \circ r \circ \Theta_\eta^{**}$. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y^{**} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_\eta^{**}} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} & & \\ {\scriptstyle \kappa_Y}\uparrow & & {\scriptstyle \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}}\uparrow & \searrow^{r} & \\ Y & \xrightarrow[\Theta_\eta]{} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y) & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{ev}_x]{} & Y. \end{array}$$

Note that maps involving r do not necessarily commute.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a bounded linear map satisfying $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. Given $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ with $\|x\| = \|\eta\| = \langle x, \eta\rangle = 1$, let $\pi_{r,x,\eta}\colon Y^{**} \to Y$ be as in Paragraph 5.3. Then:*

(1) We have $\pi_{r,x,\eta} \circ \kappa_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y$ and $\|\pi_{r,x,\eta}\| \leq \|r\|$.

(2) Let $r_{x,\eta}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ denote the map associated to $\pi_{r,x,\eta}$ as in Paragraph 5.1. Then

$$r_{x,\eta}(F)z = r\left(F\theta_{z,\eta}\right)x,$$

for every $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $z \in X$. In particular, $r = r_{x,\eta}$ whenever r is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map.

(3) If r is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map, then $\pi_{r,x,\eta}$ is independent of the choice of x and η.

Proof. We use the notation for rank-one operators from Paragraph 4.3.

(1). We have $\mathrm{ev}_x \circ \Theta_\eta = \mathrm{id}_Y$, since $\langle x, \eta\rangle = 1$; see Paragraph 4.3. Using this at the last step, using $\Theta_\eta^{**} \circ \kappa_Y = \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \circ \Theta_\eta$ at the second step, and using that $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$ at the third step, we get

$$\pi_{x,\eta} \circ \kappa_Y = \mathrm{ev}_x \circ r \circ \Theta_\eta^{**} \circ \kappa_Y = \mathrm{ev}_x \circ r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \circ \Theta_\eta = \mathrm{ev}_x \circ \Theta_\eta = \mathrm{id}_Y.$$

The estimate for the norm of $\pi_{x,\eta}$ follows easily using $\|\mathrm{ev}_x\| = \|\Theta_\eta\| = 1$, which in turn follows from $\|x\| = \|\eta\| = 1$ as observed in Paragraph 4.3.

(2). For every $f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and $z \in X$, we have

$$(\Theta_\eta \circ \mathrm{ev}_z)(f) = \Theta_\eta(fz) = \theta_{fz,\eta} = f \circ \theta_{z,\eta}.$$

It follows that $\left(\Theta_\eta^{**} \circ \mathrm{ev}_z^{**}\right)(F) = F\theta_{z,\eta}$ for every $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $z \in X$. Using this at the last step, we compute for every $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $z \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{x,\eta}(F)z &= \pi_{x,\eta}(\alpha_{X,Y}(F)z) = \mathrm{ev}_x \circ r \circ \Theta_\eta^{**}(\mathrm{ev}_z^{**}(F)) \\ &= r(\omega_\eta^{**} \circ \mathrm{ev}_z^{**}(F))x = r\left(F\theta_{z,\eta}\right)x. \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that r is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map. Using this assumption at the second step, we get

$$r_{x,\eta}(F)z = r\left(F\theta_{z,\eta}\right)x = r(F)\theta_{z,\eta}x = r(F)z,$$

for every $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $z \in X$. We conclude that $r = r_{x,\eta}$, as desired.

(3). Let $x' \in X$ and $\eta' \in X^*$ satisfy $\langle x', \eta'\rangle = 1$. We have

$$\Theta_{\eta'}(z)\theta_{x',\eta} = \theta_{z,\eta'}\theta_{x',\eta} = \theta_{z,\eta} = \Theta_\eta(z),$$

for all $z \in X$, and hence $\Theta_{\eta'}^{**}(\sigma)\theta_{x',\eta} = \Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in X^{**}$. Using this at the second step, using that r is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map at the third step, and using that $\mathrm{ev}_x(a\theta_{x',\eta}) = \mathrm{ev}_{x'}(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ at the fourth step, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{r,x,\eta}(\sigma) &= \mathrm{ev}_x\left(r(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma))\right) = \mathrm{ev}_x\left(r(\Theta_{\eta'}^{**}(\sigma)\theta_{x',\eta})\right) = \mathrm{ev}_x\left(r(\Theta_{\eta'}^{**}(\sigma))\theta_{x',\eta}\right) \\ &= \mathrm{ev}_{x'}(r(\Theta_{\eta'}^{**}(\sigma))) = \pi_{r,x',\eta'}(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

for every $\sigma \in Y^{**}$. It follows that $\pi_{r,x,\eta} = \pi_{r,x',\eta'}$, as desired. □

Definition 5.5. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and assume $X \neq \{0\}$. Let $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a bounded, right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map satisfying $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. In view of part (3) of Lemma 5.4, we define $\pi_r = \mathrm{ev}_x \circ r \circ \Theta_\eta^{**}$, for any choice of $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ satisfying $\|x\| = \|\eta\| = \langle x, \eta\rangle = 1$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\eta \in X^*$, and let $\Theta_\eta\colon Y \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be as in Paragraph 4.3. Then*

$$\alpha_{X,Y}\left(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\right) = \theta_{\sigma,\eta}, \tag{4}$$

for every $\sigma \in Y^{**}$.

Proof. For $z \in X$ and $y \in Y$, we have

$$(\mathrm{ev}_z \circ \Theta_\eta)(y) = \theta_{\eta,y}(z) = \langle \eta, z\rangle y.$$

It follows that $(\mathrm{ev}_z^{**} \circ \Theta_\eta^{**})(\sigma) = \langle \eta, z\rangle \sigma$ for every $z \in X$ and every $\sigma \in Y^{**}$. Using this at the second step, and using Lemma 4.19 at the first step, we deduce that

$$\alpha_{X,Y}\left(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\right) z = \mathrm{ev}_z^{**}\left(\omega_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\right) = \langle \eta, z\rangle \sigma = \theta_{\sigma,\eta}(z)$$

for every $\eta \in X^*$, every $\sigma \in Y^{**}$ and ever $z \in X$, as desired. □

The following theorem is the main result of this section and the foundation of most results in Sections 6 and 7. It has immediate applications in Corollary 5.8 to show that Y is complemented in its bidual if and only if so is $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ (for any nonzero X). It is also used to establish connections between preduals of Y and preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$; see Theorem 6.7. Subsequently, it is the essential new ingredient to prove the most interesting applications of this paper: Corollaries 7.4 and 7.7.

Theorem 5.7. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces with $X \neq \{0\}$. Let $\gamma_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ and $\alpha_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ be defined as in Paragraph 4.14 and Definition 4.17. Assigning to $\pi\colon Y^{**} \to Y$ the maps q_π and r_π from Paragraph 5.1 implements natural one-to-one correspondences between the following classes:*

- *(a) Bounded, linear maps $\pi\colon Y^{**} \to Y$ satisfying $\pi \circ \kappa_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y$.*
- *(b) Right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module maps $q\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ that satisfy $q \circ \gamma_{X,Y} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$.*
- *(c) Right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module maps $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ satisfying $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$.*

Given a map q as in (b), the corresponding map in (c) is $q \circ \alpha_{X,Y}$. Given a map r as in (c), the corresponding map in (a) is π_r from Definition 5.5. Moreover:

- *(1) For any map π as in (a), we have $\|\pi\| = \|q_\pi\| = \|r_\pi\|$.*
- *(2) Every map q as in (b), and every map r as in (c), is automatically a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map.*
- *(3) The kernel of π is weak* closed if and only if the kernel of q_π is weak* closed, if and only if the kernel of r_π is weak* closed.*

Proof. Throughout the proof, we write α for $\alpha_{X,Y}$. We let $\mathfrak{A}$, $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{C}$ denote the sets of maps as in (a), (b), and (c), respectively. Given $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $q_\pi \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $r_\pi \in \mathfrak{C}$. Given $q \in \mathfrak{B}$, we have $q \circ \alpha \in \mathfrak{C}$ because α is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map satisfying $\alpha \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \gamma_{X,Y}$; see Theorem 4.22. Given $r \in \mathfrak{C}$, we have $\pi_r \in \mathfrak{A}$ by Lemma 5.4(1). The following diagram shows the (well-defined) assignments:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{A} & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathfrak{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C} \\ \pi & \mapsto & q_\pi & \quad q \mapsto q \circ \alpha \quad & r \mapsto \pi_r \end{array} \qquad \mathfrak{C} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$$

Given $r \in \mathfrak{C}$, we have $r = r_{\pi_r}$ by Lemma 5.4(2). It remains to show that $\pi = \pi_{q_\pi \circ \alpha}$ and $q = q_{\pi_{q \circ \alpha}}$ for all $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $q \in \mathfrak{B}$.

Fix $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ satisfying $\|x\| = \|\eta\| = \langle x, \eta \rangle = 1$. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$. To verify that $\pi = \pi_{q_\pi \circ \alpha}$, let $\sigma \in X^{**}$. Using Lemma 5.6 at the third step, we obtain

$$\pi_{q_\pi \circ \alpha}(\sigma) = (\mathrm{ev}_x \circ q_\pi \circ \alpha \circ \Theta_\eta^{**})(\sigma) = \pi\left(\alpha(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma))x\right) = \pi(\theta_{\sigma,\eta} x) = \pi(\sigma),$$

as desired.

Next, let $q \in \mathfrak{B}$. To verify that $q = q_{\pi_{q \circ \alpha}}$, let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$ and let $z \in X$. Using that q is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map at the sixth step, and using Lemma 5.6 at the fourth step, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\pi_{q\circ\alpha}}(a)z = \pi_{q\circ\alpha}(az) &= \left(\mathrm{ev}_x \circ q \circ \alpha \circ \Theta_\eta^{**}\right)(az) = q(\alpha(\omega_\eta^{**}(az)))x = q(\theta_{az,\eta})x \\ &= q(a\theta_{z,\eta})x = q(a)\theta_{z,\eta}x = q(a)z, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(1). Given $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have $\|q_\pi\| \leq \|\pi\|$ by Lemma 5.2; we have $\|r_\pi\| = \|q_\pi \circ \alpha\| \leq \|q_\pi\|$ since α is contractive; and we have $\|\pi\| \leq \|r_\pi\|$ by Lemma 5.4. It follows that π, q_π and r_π have the same norms.

(2). This follows directly from Lemma 5.2.

(3). Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$ and assume that $\ker(\pi)$ is weak* closed in Y^{**}. Given $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, X^{**})$, we have $q_\pi(f) = 0$ if and only if $f(x) \in \ker(\pi)$ for every $x \in X$. To show that $\ker(q_\pi)$ is weak* closed in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$, let $(f_j)_j$ be a net in $\ker(q_\pi)$ that converges weak* to $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$. Given $x \in X$, the net $(f_j(x))_j$ converges weak* to $f(x)$ in Y^{**}. By assumption, $f_j(x) \in \ker(\pi)$ for each j. Since $\ker(\pi)$ is weak* closed, it follows that $f(x) \in \ker(\pi)$. Since this holds for every $x \in X$, we deduce that $f \in \ker(q_\pi)$, as desired.

Next, if $\ker(q_\pi)$ is weak* closed in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$, then $\ker(r_\pi)$ is weak* closed in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**}$ since $r_\pi = q_\pi \circ \alpha$ and α is weak* continuous.

Lastly, assume that $\ker(r_\pi)$ is weak* closed in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**}$. Fix $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ satisfying $\|x\| = \|\eta\| = \langle x, \eta \rangle = 1$. Given $F \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**}$, it is straightforward to check that $r_\pi(F)x = 0$ if and only if $r(F\theta_{x,\eta}) = 0$. To show that $\ker(\pi)$ is weak* closed in Y^{**}, let $(\sigma_j)_j$ be a net in $\ker(\pi)$ that converges weak* to $\sigma \in Y^{**}$. For each j, we have

$$0 = \pi(\sigma_j) = r_\pi(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma_j))x,$$

and therefore $r_\pi(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma_j)\theta_{x,\eta}) = 0$. Using that $\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma_j)\theta_{x,\eta}$ converges weak* to $\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\theta_{x,\eta}$, and using that $\ker(r_\pi)$ is weak* closed, we deduce that $r_\pi(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\theta_{x,\eta}) = 0$. Hence $\pi(\sigma) = 0$, as desired. □

Corollary 5.8. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces with $X \neq \{0\}$, and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \geq 1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) Y is λ-complemented in its bidual as a Banach space.
(2) $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is λ-complemented in its bidual as a Banach space.
(3) $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is λ-complemented in its bidual as a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.
(4) $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is λ-complemented in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^{**})$ as a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module (or, equivalently, as an $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module).

Proof. The equivalence of (1), (3) and (4) follows immediately from Theorem 5.7. That (3) implies (2) is obvious. Finally, that (2) implies (1) is a consequence of Lemma 5.4. □

Remarks 5.9. (1) The equivalence between (1) and (2) in Corollary 5.8 was previously obtained by Delgado and Piñeiro, [DP07, Theorem 2.1]. (Note that the operator ideal of all operators, $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, is 'boundedly weak*-closed' in the sense of Delgado and Piñeiro.)

(2) The equivalence between (2) and (3) in Corollary 5.8 does not imply that any bounded, linear map $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X, Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ satisfying $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$ is automatically a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map.

However, if such r exists, then there is a (possibly different) bounded, right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map $r'\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with $\|r'\| = \|r\|$ satisfying $r' \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. In fact, $r_{x,\eta}$ as defined in Lemma 5.4 will do.

(3) Let us consider the analog of Corollary 5.8 for the spaces $\mathcal{A}(X,Y)$ and $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$. If $\mathcal{A}(X,Y)$ or $\mathcal{K}(X,Y)$ is λ-complemented in its bidual (as a Banach space), then so is Y. However, the converse does not hold in general: By [GS88, Corollary 5.7], given a reflexive space X, the space $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is 1-complemented in its bidual if and only if $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is reflexive. (In particular, ℓ_2 is 1-complemented in its bidual although $\mathcal{A}(\ell_2) = \mathcal{K}(\ell_2)$ is not.)

It seems reasonable to expect that $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is not reflexive unless X is finite dimensional. However, this question is still open. It is even unknown if there exists an infinite dimensional Banach space such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is reflexive.

However, if X has the approximation property, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is reflexive if and only if X is finite dimensional; see for example [Hol73]. Similarly, if X has the compact approximation property (CAP), then $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is reflexive if and only if X is finite dimensional. Indeed, if X is reflexive and has the CAP, then $\mathcal{K}(X)^{**} = \mathcal{L}(X)$ by [GS88, Corollary 1.3]. Thus, if $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is reflexive, then id_X is compact, whence X is finite dimensional. We refer the interested reader to the recent paper [God14].

6. Preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$

Throughout this section, X and Y will denote Banach spaces. We will frequently use the maps $\omega_{X,Y}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ and $\alpha_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ from Paragraph 4.16 and Definition 4.17.

Let $F \subseteq Y^*$ be a predual, with inclusion map $\iota\colon F \to Y^*$. We consider the map $\beta_{X,F} := \omega_{X,Y} \circ (\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota)\colon X\widehat{\otimes}F \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ and its image $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$; see Definition 6.1. In Lemma 6.3, we show that $\beta_{X,F}$ is a contractive isomorphism between $X\widehat{\otimes}F$ and $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$, and give an estimate for the norm of its inverse. Moreover, in Theorem 6.6 we show that $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is a predual of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ making $\mathcal{L}(X,F)$ a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.

The main result of this section, Theorem 6.7, asserts that the converse also holds: There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between preduals of Y and preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ making it a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module. Under this correspondence, a predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ is associated with the predual $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{n}\left(\mathcal{L}(X,Y), X\overline{\otimes}_Y F\right) = \mathrm{n}(Y,F).$$

(See Definition 3.3 for the definition of the predual constants.) Thus, isometric preduals of Y correspond to isometric predual of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ making it a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.

Definition 6.1. Let X and Y be Banach spaces, let $F \subseteq Y^*$ be a subspace with inclusion map $\iota\colon F \to Y^*$, and let $\omega_{X,Y}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ be the map from Paragraph 4.16. We set

$$\beta_{X,F} := \omega_{X,Y} \circ (\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota)\colon X\widehat{\otimes}F \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*,$$

and we define $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ as the image of $\beta_{X,F}$.

We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* & \xrightarrow{\omega_{X,Y}} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^* \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_X\widehat{\otimes}\iota}\uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X\widehat{\otimes}F & \xrightarrow[\beta_{X,F}]{} & X\overline{\otimes}_Y F \end{array}$$

Lemma 6.2. *Retaining the notation from Definition 6.1, let $t = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \eta_k \in X \otimes F$ be a sum of simple tensors. Then*

$$\|t\|_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} = \sup\left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f(x_k), \eta_k \rangle \right| : f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y), \|f\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of $\omega_{X,Y}$. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let $F \subseteq Y^*$ be a predual. Then the map $\beta_{X,F} \colon X\widehat{\otimes}F \to X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ from Definition 6.1 is a contractive isomorphism satisfying $\|\beta_{X,F}^{-1}\| \leq \mathrm{n}(Y,F)$. Moreover, $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$.*

Proof. Clearly $\beta_{X,F}$ is a contractive, linear map with dense image. Let us show that $\beta_{X,F}$ is bounded below by $\mathrm{n}(Y,F)^{-1}$. Let $t = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \eta_k \in X \otimes F$ be a sum of simple tensors, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Recall that $\|t\|_\pi$ denotes the projective tensor norm of t. Choose $\varphi \in (X\widehat{\otimes}F)^*$ with $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$ and $|\langle \varphi, t\rangle| \geq \|t\|_\pi - \varepsilon$. Using the natural isometric isomorphism $(X\widehat{\otimes}F)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(X, F^*)$ from Paragraph 2.6, the functional φ corresponds to a contractive operator $g \in \mathcal{L}(X, F^*)$ satisfying

$$|\langle g, t\rangle| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle g x_k, \eta_k \rangle \right| \geq \|t\|_\pi - \varepsilon.$$

We let $\iota \colon F \to Y^*$ denote the inclusion map. Further, we let $\delta_F \colon Y \to F^*$ be the contractive isomorphism associated to the concrete predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ as in Paragraph 3.2. Set $h := \delta_F^{-1} \circ g \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.

Given $\eta \in F$ and $\sigma \in F^*$, it is easy to check that $\langle \sigma, \eta\rangle = \langle \delta_F^{-1}(\sigma), \iota(\eta)\rangle$. Using this at the third step, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h, \beta_{X,F}(t)\rangle| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle h x_k, \iota(\eta_k)\rangle \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \delta_F^{-1}(g x_k), \iota(\eta_k)\rangle \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle g x_k, \eta_k\rangle \right| \\ &= |\langle g, t\rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|h\| \leq \|\delta_F^{-1}\| = \mathrm{n}(Y,F)^{-1}$, it follows that

$$\|\beta_{X,F}(t)\| \geq \|h\|^{-1} |\langle h, \beta_{X,F}(t)\rangle| = \|h\|^{-1} |\langle g, t\rangle| \geq \mathrm{n}(Y,F)^{-1}(\|t\|_\pi - \varepsilon).$$

Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we conclude that $\|\beta_{X,F}(t)\| \geq \mathrm{n}(Y,F)^{-1}\|t\|_\pi$, as desired. This implies that $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$. □

Remarks 6.4. Let $F \subseteq Y^*$ be a predual, and let $\beta_{X,F} \colon X\widehat{\otimes}F \to X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ be the contractive isomorphism from Lemma 6.3.

(1) By definition, $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$. Equivalently, we may consider $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ as the completion of the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes F$ in the norm given by $\|t\|_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} := \|\omega_{X,Y}(t)\|$, for every $t \in X \otimes F$.

(2) It follows from Lemma 6.3 that $\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota$ is bounded below by $n(Y,F)^{-1}$. In particular, if $F \subseteq Y^*$ is an isometric predual, then $\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota$ is isometric. This can also be deduced from [DFS03, Theorem 1.6].

We do not know of any predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ where $\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota$ is not isometric. In the particular case when $X = F^*$, it follows from Proposition 2.9 that

$$j(\mathrm{id}_{F^*} \widehat{\otimes} \iota)^{-1} = \lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, Y^*).$$

(See Definitions 2.1 and 2.3 for the definitions of the injection modulus and the relative local projection constant.) Thus, $\mathrm{id}_{F^*} \widehat{\otimes} \iota$ is isometric if and only if $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, Y^*) = 1$. However, we know of no predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ with $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, Y^*) > 1$; see Question 3.12 and Remarks 3.13.

(3) If $F \subseteq Y^*$ is an isometric predual, then $\beta_{X,F}$ is isometric and thus induces a natural isometric isomorphism $X\widehat{\otimes}F \cong X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$, for every Banach space X. On the

other hand, we do not know of any predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ where $\beta_{X,F}$ is not isometric. Since $\beta_{X,F}$ is the composition of $\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota$ and a contractive map, every predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ with $\lambda_{\mathrm{loc}}(F, Y^*) > 1$ will also satisfy that $\beta_{X,F}$ is not isometric.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\varphi \in X^*$ with $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$. Let $R_\varphi \colon X \widehat{\otimes} F \to F$ be the map from Paragraph 4.2. Then*

$$\left\| R_\varphi \circ \beta_{X,F}^{-1} \right\| \leq 1.$$

Proof. Let $t = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \otimes \eta_k \in X \otimes F$ be a sum of simple tensors. Then $R_\varphi(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi, x_k \rangle \eta_k$, by definition. Using the inclusion $F \subseteq Y^*$ at the first step, using that $\|\theta_{y,\varphi}\| = \|y\|\|\varphi\|$ at the fourth step, and using Lemma 6.2 at the last step, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_\varphi(t)\| &= \sup\{|\langle R_\varphi(t), y\rangle| : y \in Y, \|y\| \leq 1\} \\
&= \sup\left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi, x_k\rangle \langle \eta_k, y\rangle \right| : y \in Y, \|y\| \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \theta_{y,\varphi} x_k, \eta_k\rangle \right| : y \in Y, \|y\| \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \sup\left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k), \eta_k\rangle \right| : f \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y), \|f\| \leq 1 \right\} = \|t\|_{X \overline{\otimes}_Y F}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $X \otimes F \subseteq X \overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is dense, the desired inequality follows. □

Theorem 6.6. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $F \subseteq Y^*$ be a predual. Then $X \overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is a predual of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.*

Let $\delta_{X \overline{\otimes}_Y F} \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to (X \overline{\otimes}_Y F)^*$ and $\delta_F \colon Y \to F^*$ be the contractive isomorphisms associated to F as in Paragraph 3.2. Consider the contractive isomorphisms $(\delta_F)_* \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \to \mathcal{L}(X, F^*)$ and $(\delta_F^{-1})_* \colon \mathcal{L}(X, F^*) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ defined by

$$(\delta_F)_*(g) := \delta_F \circ g \quad \text{and} \quad (\delta_F^{-1})_*(h) := \delta_F^{-1} \circ h,$$

for all $g \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and $h \in \mathcal{L}(X, F^*)$. Then

$$\delta_{X \overline{\otimes}_Y F} = (\beta_{X,F}^*)^{-1} \circ (\delta_F)_* \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{-1}_{X \overline{\otimes}_Y F} = (\delta_F^{-1})_* \circ \beta_{X,F}^*. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\mathrm{n}\left(\mathcal{L}(X,Y), X \overline{\otimes}_Y F\right) = \mathrm{n}(Y,F).$$

Finally, $(\mathcal{L}(X,Y), X \overline{\otimes}_Y F)$ is a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module for the natural $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule structure of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ from Paragraph 4.1.

Proof. It is easy to see that $(\delta_F)_*$ and $(\delta_F^{-1})_*$ are mutual inverses, and hence isomorphisms. We have

$$\left\|(\delta_F^{-1})_*\right\| = \|\delta_F^{-1}\|. \tag{6}$$

Let $\iota \colon X \overline{\otimes}_Y F \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ denote the inclusion map, and set

$$\delta_{X \overline{\otimes}_Y F} := \iota^* \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}.$$

We need to show that $\delta_{X \overline{\otimes}_Y F}$ is an isomorphism. Consider the following diagram, which is easily checked to commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(X \widehat{\otimes} F)^* & \xleftarrow{\beta_{X,F}^*} & (X \overline{\otimes}_Y F)^* & \xleftarrow{\iota^*} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \\
\| & & & \nwarrow^{\delta_{X \overline{\otimes}_Y F}} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}} \\
\mathcal{L}(X, F^*) & & \xleftarrow[(\delta_F)_*]{} & & \mathcal{L}(X,Y).
\end{array}$$

It follows from Lemma 6.3, that $\beta^*_{X,F}$ is a contractive isomorphism. Since $(\delta_F)_*$ is also an isomorphism, we conclude that $\delta_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F}$ is an isomorphism satisfying

$$\delta_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} = (\beta^*_{X,F})^{-1} \circ (\delta_F)_*, \quad \delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} = (\delta_F^{-1})_* \circ \beta^*_{X,F}. \tag{7}$$

Using this at the second step, and using (6) at the third step, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{n}\left(\mathcal{L}(X,Y), X\overline{\otimes}_Y F\right) = \left\|\delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F}\right\| = \left\|(\delta_F^{-1})_* \circ \beta^*_{X,F}\right\| \leq \|\delta_F^{-1}\| = \mathrm{n}(Y,F).$$

To prove the inverse inequality, choose $x \in X$ and $\varphi \in X^*$ with $\|x\| = \|\varphi\| = \langle \varphi, x\rangle = 1$. We claim that

$$\delta_F^{-1} = \mathrm{ev}_x \circ \delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} \circ (\beta^*_{X,F})^{-1} \circ \Theta_\varphi. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, given $\sigma \in F^*$, we use (7) at the first step to get

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathrm{ev}_x \circ \delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} \circ (\beta^*_{X,F})^{-1} \circ \Theta_\varphi\right)(\sigma) &= \left(\mathrm{ev}_x \circ (\delta_F^{-1})_* \circ \Theta_\varphi\right)(\sigma) \\ &= \left(\delta_F^{-1} \circ \theta_{\sigma,\varphi}\right)(x) = \delta_F^{-1}(\sigma),\end{aligned}$$

proving the claim.

By Paragraph 4.3, we have $\Theta_\varphi = R^*_\varphi$. Using this at the first step, and using Lemma 6.5 at the last step, we compute

$$\left\|(\beta^*_{X,F})^{-1} \circ \Theta_\varphi\right\| = \left\|(\beta^{-1}_{X,F})^* \circ R^*_\varphi\right\| = \left\|R_\varphi \circ \beta^{-1}_{X,F}\right\| \leq 1. \tag{9}$$

Using (8) at the second step, using that $\|\mathrm{ev}_x\| = \|x\| = 1$ and (9) at the fourth step, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{n}(Y,F) = \|\delta_F^{-1}\| &= \left\|\mathrm{ev}_x \circ \delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} \circ (\beta^*_{X,F})^{-1} \circ \Theta_\varphi\right\| \\ &\leq \|\mathrm{ev}_x\| \left\|\delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F}\right\| \left\|(\beta^*_{X,F})^{-1} \circ \Theta_\varphi\right\| \leq \left\|\delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F}\right\| = \mathrm{n}\left(\mathcal{L}(X,Y), X\overline{\otimes}_Y F\right).\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, let $\omega_{X,Y} \colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ be the map from Paragraph 4.16, which is a $\mathcal{L}(X)$-$\mathcal{L}(Y)$-bimodule map by Lemma 4.21. It follows easily that $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is a left $\mathcal{L}(X)$-submodule of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$. Now it follows from Proposition B.8 that $(\mathcal{L}(X,Y), X\overline{\otimes}_Y F)$ is a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module, which finishes the proof. □

The following is the main result of the paper. It establishes a natural one-to-one correspondence between preduals of Y and preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ that turn it into a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module. Further, corresponding preduals have the same isomorphism constant, and thus *isometric* preduals of Y are in ono-to-one correspondence with *isometric* preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ turning it into a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.

An immediate application of this result is Corollary 6.8, where we consider the case of strongly unique preduals. Every reflexive space has a strongly unique predual, and we draw further consequences for this special case Section 7.

Theorem 6.7. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces with $X \neq \{0\}$. Combining the correspondence from Proposition 3.10 with (the restrictions of) the correspondences from Theorem 5.7, we obtain natural one-to-one correspondence between the following classes:*

(a) Concrete preduals of Y.
(b) Concrete preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ making it a right dual $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module.
(c) Bounded linear maps $\pi \colon Y^{**} \to Y$ with weak closed kernel that satisfy $\pi \circ \kappa_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y$.*
(d) Right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module maps $r \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ with weak closed kernel that satisfy $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$.*
(e) Right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module maps $q \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with weak closed kernel that satisfy $q \circ \gamma = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$.*

Given a predual $F \subseteq Y^*$, the corresponding predual of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ as defined in Definition 6.1; the corresponding map in (c) is π_F defined as in Paragraph 3.9; and the corresponding maps in (d) and (e) are r_{π_F} and q_{π_F} defined as in Paragraph 5.1. Moreover:

(1) For a predual $F \subseteq Y^*$, we have

$$\mathrm{n}(Y,F) = \mathrm{n}\left(\mathcal{L}(X,Y), X\overline{\otimes}_Y F\right) = \|\pi_F\| = \|r_{\pi_F}\| = \|q_{\pi_F}\|.$$

(2) Every predual as in (b) automatically makes $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module. Every map r as in (d), and every map q as in (e), is automatically a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map.

Proof. The correspondence between (a) and (c) follows from Paragraph 3.9. The correspondence between (c), (d) and (e) follows from Theorem 5.7(3). The correspondence between (b), and (d) follows from Proposition B.8.

Given a predual $F \subseteq Y^*$ as in (a), it follows from Theorem 6.6 that $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ is a predual. Let us show that $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ is the 'correct' predual as in (b) corresponding to F. Let $\iota_F \colon F \to Y^*$ denote the inclusion map, let $\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota_F \colon X\widehat{\otimes}F \to X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ denote the induced map on the projective tensor products, let $\iota \colon X\overline{\otimes}_Y F \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ denote the inclusion map, let $\beta_{X,F} \colon X\widehat{\otimes}F \to X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ be the contractive isomorphism from Lemma 6.3, and let $\omega_{X,Y} \colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ be the map from Paragraph 4.16. It is easy to check that

$$\omega_{X,Y} \circ (\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota_F) = \iota \circ \beta_{X,F},$$

which means that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* & \xrightarrow{\omega_{X,Y}} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^* \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota_F}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ X\widehat{\otimes}F & \xrightarrow[\beta_{X,F}]{} & X\overline{\otimes}_Y F. \end{array}$$

As in Paragraph 2.6, we identify $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with $(X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*)^*$ and $\mathcal{L}(X,F^*)$ with $(X\widehat{\otimes}F)^*$. The transpose of $\mathrm{id}_X \widehat{\otimes} \iota_F$ is given by $(\iota_F^*)_* \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X,F^*)$ defined by $(\iota_F^*)_*(g) = \iota_F^* \circ g$, for all $g \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$. This implies that the following diagram also commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{X,Y}} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} & \xleftarrow{\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}} & \mathcal{L}(X,Y) \\ {\scriptstyle (\iota_F^*)_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^*} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F}} & \\ \mathcal{L}(X,F^*) & \xleftarrow[\beta_{X,F}^*]{} & (X\overline{\otimes}_Y F)^*. & & \end{array}$$

We let $\pi_F := \delta_F^{-1} \circ \iota_F^*$, which is the map as in (c) corresponding to F. This induces a map $(\pi_F)_* \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ given by $(\pi_F)_*(g) = \pi_F \circ g$, for all $g \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$. In the notation of Paragraph 5.1, we have $(\pi_F)_* = q_{\pi_F}$. The map as in (d) corresponding to π_F is r_{π_F}, which is defined as $r_{\pi_F} = (\pi_F)_* \circ \alpha_{X,Y}$. Considering the predual $X\overline{\otimes}_Y F$ as in (b), the corresponding map as in (d) is $\pi_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F}$, which is defined as $\delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} \circ \iota^*$. Using Theorem 6.6 at the second step,

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} &= \delta^{-1}_{X\overline{\otimes}_Y F} \circ \iota^* \\ &= (\delta_F^{-1})_* \circ \beta^*_{X,F} \circ \iota^* \\ &= (\delta_F^{-1})_* \circ (\iota_F^*)_* \circ \alpha_{X,Y} \\ &= (\delta_F^{-1} \circ \iota_F^*)_* \circ \alpha_{X,Y} \\ &= (\pi_F)_* \circ \alpha_{X,Y} \\ &= r_{\pi_F},\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(1). The equality of norms follows directly from Theorem 5.7(1), Theorem 6.6 and Proposition 3.10.

(2). It follows from Theorem 5.7(2) that the maps in (d) and (e) are automatically right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module maps. Given a predual $Z \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ as in (b), we consider the associated map π_Z as in (d). Then π_Z is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map, and therefore automatically a right $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module map. It follows from Proposition B.8 that the predual Z makes $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module. □

For the case of strongly unique preduals (see Paragraph 3.14), we immediately obtain from Theorem 6.7 the following result, which seems not to have appeared in the literature so far.

Corollary 6.8. *Let Y be a Banach space. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) Y has a strongly unique (isometric) predual.
(2) For some (any) Banach space X with $X \neq \{0\}$, the space $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ has a strongly unique (isometric) predual making it a right dual $\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.
(3) $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ has a strongly unique (isometric) predual making it a right dual Banach algebra.

7. Application: Preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ for Y reflexive

Throughout this section, X and Y will denote Banach spaces. We will frequently use the map $\alpha_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ from Definition 4.17. If Y is reflexive, then we identify $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and we consider $\alpha_{X,Y}$ as a map $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.

In this section, we first obtain characterizations of reflexivity of Y in terms of properties of the $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$; see Theorem 7.3. Applied to the case $X = Y$, we obtain characterizations of reflexivity of X in terms of properties of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(X)$. In particular, X is reflexive if and only if $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a predual making it a (left) dual Banach algebra. Equivalently, there exists a multiplicative projection from either $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ or $\mathcal{L}(X,X^{**})$ onto $\mathcal{L}(X)$; see Corollary 7.4. This extends results of Daws, [Daw04b]; see Remarks 7.5.

Secondly, under the assumption that Y be reflexive, we study uniqueness of preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, and projections from $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ onto $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$; see Theorem 7.6. Applied to the case $X = Y$, we conclude that when X is reflexive, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a unique predual making it a (right) dual Banach algebra (namely $X\widehat{\otimes}X^* \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)^*$ as described in Paragraph 7.1); and that there is a unique projections from $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$ onto $\mathcal{L}(X)$ that is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map (namely α_X); see Corollary 7.7. This result was previously shown by Daws, [Daw07, Theorem 4.4], under the additional assumption that X has the approximation property; see Remark 7.8. Our methods allow one to remove this condition.

In the last part of this section, we connect our results with results of Godefroy and Saphar, [GS88, Proposition 5.10], who showed in particular that $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is

the strongly unique isometric predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ if X is reflexive; see Remark 7.10. This raises the question of whether $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a strongly unique predual if X is reflexive. If X is finite-dimensional, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is finite-dimensional and hence has a strongly unique predual. On the other hand, we show in Example 7.11 that $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ does not have a strongly unique predual. There remains the question of whether there exists an infinite-dimensional (reflexive) Banach space such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a strongly unique predual; see Questions 7.12.

7.1. If Y is reflexive, then Y^* (as a subspace of Y^*) is the strongly unique predual of Y. Let X be another Banach space. Since Y^* is an isometric predual of Y, it follows from Lemma 6.3 that the map $\beta_{X,Y^*}\colon X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* \to X\overline{\otimes}_Y Y^*$ is an isometric isomorphism. Therefore, we may consider $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ as a predual of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. Similarly, if X is reflexive, we can consider $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is an isometric predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces such that $X \neq \{0\}$, and let $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map satisfying $r\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. Then Y is reflexive. If, moreover, r is a $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-$\mathcal{A}(X)$-bimodule, then, after identifying $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, we have $r = \alpha_{X,Y}$ for $\alpha_{X,Y}$ defined in Definition 4.17.*

Proof. Step 1: We reduce to the case that r is a $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-$\mathcal{A}(X)$-bimodule map.

Let $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map satisfying $r\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. Choose $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ with $\langle x,\eta\rangle = 1$. Let $r_{x,\eta}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be the map defined as in Lemma 5.4. Then $r_{x,\eta}$ is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map satisfying $r_{x,\eta}\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$, by Lemma 5.2.

To show that $r_{x,\eta}$ is a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map, let $b \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$, let $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$, and let $z \in X$. Using at the second step that r is a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map, we obtain that

$$r_{x,\eta}(bF)z = r(bF\theta_{x,\eta})z = br(F\theta_{x,\eta})z = br_{x,\eta}(F)z.$$

It follows that $r_{x,\eta}(bF) = br_{x,\eta}(F)$, as desired.

Step 2. Let $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-$\mathcal{A}(X)$-bimodule map satisfying $r\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. Let $\pi\colon Y^{**} \to Y$ be as in Definition 5.5. Choose $x \in X$ and $\eta \in X^*$ with $\langle \eta, x\rangle = 1$. Then $\pi = \mathrm{ev}_x \circ r \circ \Theta_\eta$. By Theorem 5.7, we have

$$r(F)x = \pi\left(\alpha_{X,Y}(F)x\right),$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$ and $x \in X$.

It is enough to show that π is an isomorphism, since this implies that Y is reflexive and that $r = \alpha_{X,Y}$ after identifying $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ with $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. Since π satisfies $\pi\circ\kappa_Y = \mathrm{id}_Y$, it remains to show that π is injective.

Assuming otherwise, we can choose a nonzero element $\sigma \in Y^{**}$ such that $\pi(\sigma) = 0$. Set $F = \Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma) \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**}$. Using Lemma 5.6 at the third step, we get

$$r(F)z = r\big(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\big)\,z = \pi\left(\alpha_{X,Y}\big(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\big)\,z\right) = \pi\left(\theta_{\sigma,\eta}z\right) = \pi\left(\langle\eta,z\rangle\sigma\right) = 0,$$

for every $z \in X$. It follows that $r(F) = 0$.

Using $\sigma \neq 0$, we choose $\varphi \in Y^*$ with $\langle\varphi,\sigma\rangle = 1$. Let $y \in Y$ be a nonzero element. Set $b = \theta_{y,\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$. It is easy to check that $\theta_{y,\varphi}\theta_{\sigma,\eta} = \theta_{\kappa_Y(y),\eta}$ and therefore

$$\pi(\theta_{y,\varphi}\theta_{\sigma,\eta}x) = \pi(\theta_{\kappa_Y(y),\eta}x) = \langle\eta, zx\rangle y = y.$$

Using this at the last step, using that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is a left $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-module map at the second step (see Lemma 4.21), and using Lemma 5.6 at the third step, we get that

$$r(bF)x = \pi\left(\alpha_{X,Y}\big(b\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\big)\,x\right) = \pi\left(b\alpha_{X,Y}\big(\Theta_\eta^{**}(\sigma)\big)\,x\right) = \pi(\theta_{y,\varphi}\theta_{\sigma,\eta}x) = y.$$

Thus $r(bF) \neq 0$, while $r(b)r(F) = 0$, which contradicts that r is a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map. $\square$

Theorem 7.3. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces with $X \neq \{0\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) Y is reflexive.
(2) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ has a predual making it a dual $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule.
(3) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ has a predual making it a left dual $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module.
(4) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in its bidual as a $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule.
(5) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in its bidual as a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module.
(6) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ as a $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule.
(7) $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in $\mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**})$ as a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module.

Moreover, in conditions (2) and (3) we may equivalently assume that the predual is isometric, and in conditions (4)-(7) we may equivalently replace 'complemented' by '1-complemented'.

Proof. It is clear that (2) implies (3), that (4) implies (5), and that (6) implies (7). It follows from Proposition 7.2 that (5) implies (1). If Y is reflexive, then (6) is trivially satisfied. This shows that (1) implies (6).

To show that (3) implies (5), let $F \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ be a predual making $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a left dual $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module. Let $\pi_F \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be the naturally associated projection from Paragraph B.7. It follows from Proposition B.8 that π_F is a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map, as desired. Analogously, one shows that (2) implies (4).

To show that (7) implies (5), let $q \colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map satisfying $q \circ \gamma_{X,Y} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)}$. Using that $\alpha_{X,Y}$ is a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module map with $\alpha_{X,Y} \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \gamma_{X,Y}$ (see Theorem 4.22), we get that $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is complemented in its bidual as a left $\mathcal{A}(Y)$-module via the map $q \circ \alpha_{X,Y}$.

It remains to show that (1) implies (2). Assume that Y is reflexive. We have natural isometric isomorphisms (see Paragraph 2.6 for the first isomorphism):

$$(X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y^*)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(X,Y^{**}) \cong \mathcal{L}(X,Y).$$

Under these identifications, we may consider $X \mathbin{\widehat{\otimes}} Y^*$ as an isometric predual of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$. It follows from Proposition 4.4 that this makes $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a dual $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule. □

Corollary 7.4. *Let X be a Banach space. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) X is reflexive.
(2) $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a predual making it a dual Banach algebra.
(3) $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a predual making it a left dual Banach algebra.
(4) $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is complemented in its bidual as a left $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module.
(5) There exists a bounded linear map $r \colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$ that is multiplicative for the left (equivalentely, for the right, or for both) Arens product on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$.
(6) There exists a homomorphism $q \colon \mathcal{L}(X,X^{**}) \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ with $q \circ \gamma_X = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$.

Proof. The equivalence of (1), (2) and (3) follows from Theorem 7.3 by considering $Y = X$. Statement (4) implies Theorem 7.3(5), and hence that X is reflexive. Analogously, (5) implies Theorem 7.3(7), and thus that X is reflexive. Clearly, (1) implies (5).

Finally, to show that (1) implies (5), assume that X is reflexive. We consider α_X as a map $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$. By Corollary 4.24, α_X is multiplicative for both Arens products on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$. See also Remarks 4.25. □

Remarks 7.5. (1) Some implications in Corollary 7.4 were previously known. In particular, Daws showed in [Daw04b, Proposition 4.2.1] that X is reflexive if and only if $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a dual Banach algebra (for some isometric predual). See also

Corollary 4.5. Note that in Corollary 7.4 we do not require that the predual is an isometric predual.

To our knowledge, it was previously not unknown that (4), (5) or (6) imply (1).

(2) One can consider statements (4), (5) and (6) of Corollary 7.4 as 'algebraic' characterization of reflexivity.

Theorem 7.6. *Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and assume that Y is reflexive. We consider the predual $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^* \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ as in Paragraph 7.1 and the map $\alpha_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ as in Definition 4.17. Then:*

(1) If $F \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^*$ is a predual making $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a right dual $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module, then $F = X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$. In particular, F is automatically an isometric predual making $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a dual $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-module.

(2) If $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X,Y)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ is right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map satisfying $r\circ\kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$, then $r = \alpha_{X,Y}$. In particular, r is automatically a quotient map and a $\mathcal{L}(Y)$-$\mathcal{L}(X)$-bimodule map.

Corollary 7.7. *Let X be a reflexive space. Then:*

(1) If $F \subset \mathcal{L}(X)^*$ is a predual making $\mathcal{L}(X)$ a right dual Banach algebra, then $F = X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$. In particular, F is automatically an isometric predual making $\mathcal{L}(X)$ a dual Banach algebra.

(2) If $r\colon \mathcal{L}(X)^{**} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ is a right $\mathcal{A}(X)$-module map satisfying $r \circ \kappa_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$, then $r = r_X$. In particular, r is automatically a quotient map and multiplicative for both Arens products on $\mathcal{L}(X)^{**}$).

(3) For every right dual Banach algebra A and for every (not necessarily isometric) Banach algebra isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{L}(X) \to A$, the maps φ and φ^{-1} are automatically weak continuous.*

Remark 7.8. Under the assumption that X has the approximation property (and therefore automatically the metric approximation property), it was shown by Daws, [Daw07, Theorem 4.4], that $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is the unique predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ making it a dual Banach algebra. One way to formulate this is as follows: For every dual Banach algebra A, and every Banach algebra isomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{L}(X) \to A$, the map φ is automatically weak* continuous.

Our Corollary 7.7 generalizes the theorem of Daws in in two ways. First, we see that the assumption that X have the approximation property is unnecessary. Second, the predual is not only unique among the preduals making $\mathcal{L}(X)$ a dual Banach algebra, but also among the preduals making it a right dual Banach algebra.

It was noted in [DPW09, Theorem 5.1] that the proof of Daws' theorem also shows the following abstract result: If A is an Arens regular Banach algebra such that the bidual A^{**} is unital, and such that A is an ideal in A^{**}, then A^* is the unique predual of A^{**} making it a dual Banach algebra.

Let us explain why this result can not be used (in an immediate way) to deduce Corollary 7.7. To apply the result to $\mathcal{L}(X)$, one has to choose an ideal A of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ such that A^{**} is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Let us consider the ideals $\mathcal{A}(X)$ and $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of approximable and compact operators, respectively. First, it was shown by Young and Ülger, [You77], [Ülg88], that $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is Arens regular if and only if X is reflexive; see also [Pal94, 1.7.1, Corollary, p.81]. Analogously, Daws showed that X is reflexive if and only if $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is Arens reguar; see [Daw04b, Theorems 2.5.2, 2.7.36, p.51, p.88]. Thus, the condition that A be Arens regular is unproblematic.

Given an Arens regular Banach algebra A, it is known that A^{**} is unital if and only if A has a bounded two-sided approximate identity; see e.g. [Pal94, Proposition 5.1.8, p.527]. By results of Dixon, $\mathcal{A}(X)$ has a bounded left approximate identity if and only if X has the bounded approximation property (BAP), and analogously $\mathcal{K}(X)$ has a bounded left approximate identity if and only if X has the bounded

compact approximation property (BCAP); see [Dix86, Remarks 2.4, Theorem 2.6]. In general, the BAP implies the BCAP. Thus, if there is any Banach algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}(X)^{**} \cong \mathcal{L}(X)$ or $\mathcal{K}(X)^{**} \cong \mathcal{L}(X)$, then X has the BCAP. Incidentally, a reflexive space X has the BCAP if and only if there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(X) \cong \mathcal{K}(X)^{**}$; see [GS88, Remark 1.4]. However, there exist reflexive spaces without the BCAP; see [Pal94, 1.7.10, p.95].

Theorem 7.9 (Godefroy, Saphar, [GS88, Proposition 5.10])**.** *Let X and Y be Banach spaces satisfying the Radon-Nikodým property (RNP). Then $X\widehat{\otimes}Y$ is the strongly unique isometric predual of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$.*

Remark 7.10. Every reflexive space satisfies the RNP. Thus, if X and Y are reflexive, then $X\widehat{\otimes}Y^*$ is the strongly unique isometric predual of $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. In particular, if X is reflexive, then the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a strongly unique isometric predual.

Thus, if X is reflexive, then $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is both the strongly unique isometric predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and the strongly unique predual making $\mathcal{L}(X)$ a right dual Banach algebra; see Theorems 7.9 and 7.7. One might wonder if $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is even strongly unique. The next example shows that this is not the case in general.

Example 7.11. Consider the separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space ℓ_2. We claim that $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ does not have a strongly unique predual.

We let ℓ_∞ act on ℓ_2 by pointwise multiplication. This defines an isometric map $\ell_\infty \to \mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$, which identifies ℓ_∞ with the algebra of diagonal operators in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$. It is easy to see that $\ell_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ is weak* closed. Since ℓ_∞ is an injective Banach space, it is complemented in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$. Choose a projection $P\colon \mathcal{L}(\ell_2) \to \ell_\infty$.

Let X be the range of the projection $(1 - P)$. Since ℓ_∞ is weak* closed in $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$, the space X has a predual $F_X \subseteq X^*$. (If $\iota\colon X \to \mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ denotes the inclusion, then the predual of X is given by $F_X := \iota^*(\ell_2\widehat{\otimes}\ell_2) \subseteq X^*$.) It is well-known that ℓ_∞ does not have a strongly unique predual. Indeed, on the one hand, we have the canonical predual ℓ_1 (which is the strongly unique isometric predual of ℓ_∞). On the other hand, it was shown by Pełczyński that ℓ_∞ is isomorphic (but not isometrically isomorphic) to $L_\infty([0, 1])$. The canonical predual of $L_\infty([0, 1])$ is $L_1([0, 1])$. It follows that ℓ_∞ has a predual Z that is isomorphic to $L_1([0, 1])$. Since ℓ_1 and $L_1([0, 1])$ are not isomorphic, we obtain that ℓ_∞ does not even have a unique predual.

We obtain two different preduals $\ell_1 \oplus F_X$ and $Z \oplus F_X$ of $\ell_\infty \oplus X$. Hence $\ell_\infty \oplus X$ does not have a strongly unique predual. Using the isomorphism between $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ and $\ell_\infty \oplus X$, we conclude that $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2)$ does not have a strongly unique predual.

Let us mention some questions which we think would be interesting to study:

Questions 7.12. (1) Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Assuming that $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ has a (isometric) predual, does it follow that Y has a (isometric) predual?

(2) Does there exist an infinite-dimensional Banach space X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a strongly unique predual? In particular, does there exist a reflexive space X such that $X\widehat{\otimes}X^*$ is the strongly unique predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$?

Remarks 7.13. (1) Part one of Questions 7.12 has for instance been considered by Rao. He showed in [Rao90, Theorem 2] that if X does not contain an isomorphic copy of $L_1([0, 1])$, then Y has an isometric predual whenever $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ does. As pointed out by Rao, this applies in particular to spaces X that satisfy the Radon-Nikodým property. In particular, if X is reflexive, then $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ has an isometric predual if and only if Y does.

(2) Every reflexive space has a strongly unique predual. Therefore, every (necessarily reflexive) Banach space X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is reflexive would provide a positive

answer to Part two of Questions 7.12. However, it is not know if there exists an infinite-dimensional space X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is reflexive; see also Remarks 5.9.

Remarks 7.14. (1) Let us mention that there are surprising similarities between the results on preduals of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for X reflexive, and the results on preduals of von Neumann algebras. First, recall that a von Neumann algbera is a C^*-algebra that has an isometric predual. However, as pointed out by Daws, [Daw16], a C^*-algebra is a von Neumann algebra if and only if it has a (not necessarily isometric) predual making it a dual Banach algebra. This is analogous to the result of Daws that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a predual making it a dual Banach algebra if and only if X is reflexive; see Corollary 7.4.

From now on, let A be a von Neumann algebra and let X be reflexive. It was shown by Sakai that A has a strongly unique isometric predual. Analogously, it was shown by Godefroy and Saphar that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a strongly unique isometric predual; see Remark 7.10.

Further, it was shown by Daws, Pham and White that A has a unique predual making it a dual Banach algebra. Analogously, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has a unique predual making it a dual Banach algebra; see Corollary 7.7.

It seems intriguing to explore if there is a deeper reason for these similarities.

(2) Complementing the remark above, let us note that it is well-known that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is isomorphic (as a Banach algebra) to a C^*-algebra if and only if X is isomorphic (as a Banach space) to a Hilbert space; see e.g. [KM46, Theorem 3]. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a C^*-algebra if and only if X is isometrically isomorphic to a Hilbert space; see e.g. [Spa13, Theorem 5.6]. Let us remark that there are numerous characterizations of Hilbert spaces among Banach spaces (or normed spaces), and the condition that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be a C^*-algebra is just one of many. We refer the interested reader to [Ami86] for more information.

Acknowledgements. We thank Sven Raum for helpful discussions on Section 4. We thank Matthew Daws, Gilles Godefroy, Bill Johnson, Philip Spain and Stuart White for valuable electronic correspondence.

Appendix A. Duals and biduals of Banach algebras and modules

Throughout this section, A and B will denote Banach algebras, and X, Y, and Z will denote Banach spaces.

We first recall the definitions of left and right (Banach) modules and the corresponding morphisms; see Definition A.1. Every Banach algebra is naturally a bimodule over itself; see Paragraph A.4. Another source of examples are the spaces $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$, which have a natural left action of $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ and right action of $\mathcal{L}(X)$; see Paragraph 4.1.

Given a bilinear map $m\colon X \times Y \to Z$, we recall in Paragraph A.6 Arens' *first derived* bilinear maps $m^{\backprime}\colon Z^* \times X \to Y^*$ and $m'\colon Y \times Z^* \to X^*$; the *second derived* maps $m^{\backprime\backprime}\colon Y^{**} \times Z^* \to X^*$ and $m''\colon Z^* \times X^{**} \to Y^*$; and the *third derived* maps $m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}, m'''\colon X^{**} \times Y^{**} \to Z^{**}$. We recall the basic properties of these derived maps in Lemmas A.7 (compatibility with the κ_X and κ_Y), A.8 (weak* continuity) and A.9 (associativity). In particular, given a Banach algebra A with product defined by the map $p\colon A \times A \to A$, we obtain two associative operations $\Box := p^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}$ and $\Diamond := p'''$ on A^{**}, called the *left and right Arens product*; see Corollary A.10 and Definition A.11.

We show that the dual of an A-B-bimodule has a natural structure as a $(B^{**}, \Box)$-$(A^{**}, \Diamond)$-bimodule; see Corollary A.16. Moreover, this assignment is functorial; see Lemma A.17 and Remark A.18.

The results of this section are standard and mostly well-known. They go back to the groundbreaking work of Arens, [Are51a] and [Are51b]. A more recent account

can be found in [Pal94, Section 1.4, p.46ff] or [Daw04b, Section 1.7]. However, we could not find these results in a suitable formulation or generality in the literature. In particular, Corollary A.16 seems not to have been noticed before.

Definition A.1. A *left A-module* is a Banach space E together with a bilinear map $A \times E \to E$, written $(a, x) \mapsto ax$, such that $(ab)x = a(bx)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $x \in E$, and such that $\|ax\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|x\|$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in E$. One defines a right A-module analogously.

An *A-B-bimodule* is a Banach space E that is at the same time a left A-module and a right B-module, and for which these two module structures are compatible: For all $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $x \in E$, we have $a(xb) = (ax)b$. An *A-bimodule* is an A-A-bimodule.

Given two left A-modules E and F, a bounded linear map $\varphi\colon E \to F$ is a *left A-module map* if $\varphi(ax) = a\varphi(x)$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in E$. One defines a right A-module map analogously. A bounded linear map between A-B-bimodules is an *A-B-bimodule map* if it is both a left A-module map and a right B-module map.

Remark A.2. Let E be an A-B-bimodule. Then E^* has a natural B-A-bimodule structure, with left action of an element $b \in B$ on E^* given by the transpose of the right action of b on E, and analogously for the right action of an element $a \in A$ on E^*. Similarly, E^{**} has a natural A-B-bimodule structure, with left action of an element $a \in A$ on E^{**} given by the bitranspose of the left action of a on E, and analogously for the right action of an element $b \in B$ on E^{**}.

Remark A.3. Given Banach algebras A and B, let A-B-$\mathfrak{Mod}$ denote the category with A-B-bimodules as objects and with A-B-bimodule maps as morphisms. Given A-B-bimodules E and F, and an A-B-bimodule map $\varphi\colon E \to F$, it is easy to see that the transpose $\varphi^*\colon F^* \to E^*$ is an B-A-bimodule map, and that the bitranspose $\varphi^{**}\colon E^{**} \to F^{**}$ is an A-B-bimodule map. It follows that we have a contravariant functor

$$A\text{-}B\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod} \to B\text{-}A\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod},$$

that assigns to an A-B-bimodule E its dual E^*, and that assigns to an A-B-bimodule map φ its transpose φ^*.

Similarly, we have a covariant functor

$$A\text{-}B\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod} \to A\text{-}B\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod},$$

by assigning to an A-B-bimodule E its bidual E^{**}, and by assigning to an A-B-bimodule map φ its bitranspose φ^{**}. This will be generalized in Remark A.18.

A.4. Given $a \in A$, we define the left multiplication map $L_a\colon A \to A$ and the right multiplication map $R_a\colon A \to A$ by

$$L_a(x) = ax, \quad \text{and} \quad R_a(x) = xa,$$

for all $x \in A$. This gives A a natural A-bimodule structure, with left and right action of an element $a \in A$ on A given by L_a and R_a, respectively. Applying Remark A.2 to A as an A-bimodule, we obtain natural A-bimodule structures on A^* and A^{**}.

More precisely, for $\eta \in A^*$ and $a \in A$, we have $a\eta \in A^*$ and $\eta a \in A^*$ given by

$$\langle a\eta, b\rangle = \langle R_a^*(\eta), b\rangle = \langle \eta, ba\rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \eta a, b\rangle = \langle L_a^*(\eta), b\rangle = \langle \eta, ab\rangle,$$

for all $b \in A$.

Similarly, for $S \in A^{**}$ and $a \in A$, we have $aS \in A^{**}$ and $Sa \in A^{**}$ given by

$$\langle aS, \eta\rangle = \langle L_a^{**}(S), \eta\rangle = \langle S, L_a^*(\eta)\rangle = \langle S, \eta a\rangle,$$
$$\langle Sa, \eta\rangle = \langle R_a^{**}(S), \eta\rangle = \langle S, R_a^*(\eta)\rangle = \langle S, a\eta\rangle,$$

for all $\eta \in A^*$.

Remarks A.5. Let E be an A-B-bimodule, and equip E^{**} with the natural structure as an A-B-bimodule as described in Remark A.2.

(1) The natural map $\kappa_E\colon E \to E^{**}$ is an A-B-bimodule map. In particular, the natural embedding $\kappa_A\colon A \to A^{**}$ is an A-bimodule map when A^{**} is equipped with the bimodule structure described above. We will see in Definition A.11 and Remark A.13 that one can turn A^{**} into a Banach algebra in such a way that κ_A becomes multiplicative.

(2) We can extend the actions of A and B on E^* and E^{**} to actions of A^{**} and B^{**}, but we have to be careful which products to choose for A^{**} and B^{**}, respectively. Indeed, we will see in Corollary A.16 that we have to consider the first Arens product for A^{**} and the second Arens product for B^{**}.

A.6. Let $m\colon X \times Y \to Z$ be a bilinear map. Arens introduced two procedures to extend m to a bilinear map $X^{**} \times Y^{**} \to Z^{**}$; see [Are51a]. One first defines bilinear maps $m^{\backprime}\colon Z^* \times X \to Y^*$ and $m'\colon Y \times Z^* \to X^*$ by

$$\big\langle m^{\backprime}(z^*,x),y\big\rangle = \langle z^*, m(x,y)\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m'(y,z^*),x\rangle = \langle z^*, m(x,y)\rangle\,,$$

for all $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $z^* \in Z^*$.

Applying the same construction again to $m^{\backprime}$ and m', one obtains bilinear maps $m^{\backprime\backprime}\colon Y^{**} \times Z^* \to X^*$ and $m''\colon Z^* \times X^{**} \to Y^*$ given by

$$\big\langle m^{\backprime\backprime}(y^{**},z^*),x\big\rangle = \big\langle y^{**}, m^{\backprime}(z^*,x)\big\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle m''(z^*,x^{**}),y\rangle = \langle x^{**}, m'(y,z^*)\rangle\,,$$

for all $x \in X$, $x^{**} \in X^{**}$, $y \in Y$, $y^{**} \in Y^{**}$ and $z^* \in Z^*$. Note that $m^{\backprime\backprime} = (m^{\backprime})^{\backprime}$ and that $m'' = (m')'$.

Applying the same procedure once again to $m^{\backprime\backprime}$ and m'', one obtains bilinear maps $m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}, m'''\colon X^{**} \times Y^{**} \to Z^{**}$ given by

$$\big\langle m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(x^{**},y^{**}),z^*\big\rangle = \big\langle x^{**}, m^{\backprime\backprime}(y^{**},z^*)\big\rangle\,,$$
$$\langle m'''(x^{**},y^{**}),z^*\rangle = \langle y^{**}, m''(z^*,x^{**})\rangle\,,$$

for all $x^{**} \in X^{**}$, $y^{**} \in Y^{**}$ and $z^* \in Z^*$.

Lemma A.7. *Let $m\colon X \times Y \to Z$ be a bilinear map. Then*

$$m^{\backprime\backprime}(\kappa_Y(y),z^*) = m'(y,z^*) \quad \textit{and} \quad m''(z^*,\kappa_X(x)) = m^{\backprime}(z^*,x).$$

for every $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $z^* \in Z^*$.

Proof. Given $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $z^* \in Z^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle m^{\backprime\backprime}(\kappa_Y(y),z^*),x\big\rangle &= \big\langle \kappa_Y(y), m^{\backprime}(z^*,x)\big\rangle = \big\langle m^{\backprime}(z^*,x),y\big\rangle = \langle z^*, m(x,y)\rangle \\ &= \langle m'(y,z^*),x\rangle\,,\end{aligned}$$

and hence $m^{\backprime\backprime}(\kappa_Y(y),z^*) = m'(y,z^*)$. The other identity is proved analogously. □

Lemma A.8. *Let $m\colon X \times Y \to Z$ be a bilinear map. Then $m^{\backprime}, m^{\backprime\backprime}$ and $m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}$ are weak* continuous in their first variables, and m', m'' and m''' are weak* continuous in their second variables.*

For fixed $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, the maps $m^{\backprime\backprime}(\kappa_Y(y),_), m''(_,\kappa_X(x))\colon Z^* \to X^*$ and the maps $m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(\kappa_X(x),_)\colon Y^{**} \to Z^{**}$ and $m'''(_,\kappa_Y(y))\colon X^{**} \to Z^{**}$ are weak continuous.*

Proof. Let $(z_j^*)_j$ be a net in Z^* that converges weak* to z^*, and let $x \in X$. Then

$$\big\langle m^{\backprime}(z_j^*,x),y\big\rangle = \big\langle z_j^*, m(x,y)\big\rangle \xrightarrow{j\to\infty} \langle z^*, m(x,y)\rangle = \big\langle m^{\backprime}(z^*,x),y\big\rangle\,,$$

for every $y \in Y$, which shows that $m^{\backprime}$ is weak* continuous in the first variable. One shows analogously that $m^{\backprime\backprime}$ and $m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}$ are weak* continuous in their first variables; and that m', m'' and m''' are weak* continuous in their second variables.

Weak* continuity of $m^{\backprime\backprime}(\kappa_Y(y),_)$ and $m''(_,\kappa_X(x))$ follow from Lemma A.7.

To verify that $m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\kappa_X(x), _)$ is weak* continuous, let $(y_j^{**})_j$ be a net in Y^{**} that converges weak* to y^{**}, and let $z^* \in Z^*$. Using that $(m^{\prime\prime}(y_j^{**}, z^*))_j$ converges weak* to $m^{\prime\prime}(y^{**}, z^*)$ at the second step, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{j\to\infty} \big\langle m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\kappa_X(x), y_j^{**}), z^* \big\rangle &= \lim_{j\to\infty} \big\langle \kappa_X(x), m^{\prime\prime}(y_j^{**}, z^*) \big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle \kappa_X(x), m^{\prime\prime}(y^{**}, z^*) \big\rangle = \big\langle m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\kappa_X(x), y^{**}), z^* \big\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

as desired. The weak* continuity of $m^{\prime\prime\prime}(_, \kappa_Y(y))$ is proved analogously. □

Proposition A.9. *Let A be a Banach algebra with multiplication given by the bilinear map $p\colon A \times A \to A$. Let E be a right A-module, with module structure given by the bilinear map $m\colon E \times A \to E$, then*

$$m^{\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T),\xi) = m^{\prime\prime}(S, m^{\prime\prime}(T,\xi)) \quad \text{and} \quad m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\sigma, p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T)) = m^{\prime\prime\prime}(m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\sigma,S),T),$$

for all $S, T \in A^{**}$, $\xi \in E^*$ and $\sigma \in E^{**}$.

Analogously, if F is a left A-module, with the module structure given by the bilinear map $n\colon A \times E \to E$, then

$$n^{\prime\prime}(\xi, p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T)) = n^{\prime\prime}(n^{\prime\prime}(\xi,S),T) \quad \text{and} \quad n^{\prime\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T),\sigma) = n^{\prime\prime\prime}(T, n^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,\sigma)),$$

for every $S, T \in A^{**}$, $\xi \in E^*$ and $\sigma \in E^{**}$.

Proof. Claim 1: Let $a, b \in A$ and $\xi \in E^*$. Then

$$m^{\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(\kappa_A(a), \kappa_A(b)), \xi) = m'(a_i, m'(b_j, \xi)).$$

Indeed, using at the first step that $p^{\prime\prime\prime}$ is an extension of p, and using Lemma A.7 at the second step, we obtain that

$$m^{\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(\kappa_A(a), \kappa_A(b)), \xi) = m^{\prime\prime}(\kappa_A(ab), \eta) = m'(ab, \eta).$$

Further, for every $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle m'(ab,\xi), x\rangle &= \langle \xi, m(x, ab)\rangle = \langle \xi, m(m(x,a),b)\rangle = \langle m'(b,\xi), m(x,a)\rangle \\ &= \langle m'(a, m'(b,\xi)), x\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Claim 2: *Let $(a_i)_i$ be a net in A such that $(\kappa_A(a_i))_i$ converges weak* to R in A^{**}, and let $\xi \in E^*$. Then:*

$$m^{\prime\prime}(R, \xi) = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_i m'(a_i, \xi).$$

Indeed, this follows directly using Lemma A.7 and using that $m^{\prime\prime}$ is weak* continuous in the first variable (Lemma A.8).

Let $S, T \in A^{**}$ and $\xi \in E^*$. To verify $m^{\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T),\eta) = m^{\prime\prime}(S, m^{\prime\prime}(T,\eta))$, choose nets $(a_i)_i$ and $(b_j)_j$ in A such that $(\kappa_A(a_i))_i$ and $(\kappa_A(b_j))_j$ converge weak* to S and T, respectively. Using the results on weak* continuity from Lemma A.8, using Claim 1 at the second step, and using Claim 2 at the last two steps, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}m^{\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T),\xi) &= \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_i \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j m^{\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(\kappa_A(a_i), \kappa_A(b_j)), \xi) \\ &= \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_i \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j m'(a_i, m'(b_j,\xi)) \\ &= \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_i m'(a_i, \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j m'(b_j,\xi)) \\ &= \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_i m'(a_i, m^{\prime\prime}(T,\xi)) = m^{\prime\prime}(S, m^{\prime\prime}(T,\xi)).\end{aligned}$$

Given $\sigma \in E^{**}$, we use the above at the second step to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\sigma, p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T)), \xi\big\rangle &= \big\langle \sigma, m^{\prime\prime}(p^{\prime\prime\prime}(S,T),\xi)\big\rangle = \big\langle \sigma, m^{\prime\prime}(S, m^{\prime\prime}(T,\xi))\big\rangle \\ &= \big\langle m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\sigma,S), m^{\prime\prime}(T,\xi)\big\rangle = \big\langle m^{\prime\prime\prime}(m^{\prime\prime\prime}(\sigma,S),T), \xi\big\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

for every $\xi \in E^*$, which shows that $m'''(\sigma, p'''(S,T)) = m'''(m'''(\sigma,S),T)$.

The statements for n are proved analogously. □

As a first corollary, we obtain the following well-known result of Arens, who showed that there are two natural products on A^{**} extending the multiplication on A, called the first and second Arens products. We refer the reader to [Daw04b, Section 1.7] or [Pal94, Section 1.4, p.46ff] for further details.

Corollary A.10. *Let A be a Banach algebra with multiplication given by the bilinear map $p\colon A \times A \to A$. Then p''' and p''' are associative operations on A^{**}.*

Proof. Given $R,S,T \in A^{**}$, Proposition A.9 implies that

$$p'''(R,p'''(S,T)) = p'''(p'''(R,S),T) \quad \text{and} \quad p'''(R,p'''(S,T)) = p'''(p'''(R,S),T),$$

as desired. □

Definition A.11. Let A be a Banach algebra with multiplication given by the bilinear map $p\colon A \times A \to A$. We define two operations $\square$ and $\lozenge$ on A^{**} by

$$S \square T := p'''(S,T) \quad \text{and} \quad S \lozenge T := p'''(S,T),$$

for all $S,T \in A^{**}$.

The product $\square$ on A^{**} is called the *first Arens product* (also called left Arens product), and the product $\lozenge$ on A^{**} is called the *second Arens product* (also called right Arens product). (We warn the reader that some authors write ST and $S \cdot T$, and some write $S.T$ and $S \circ T$, for the first and second Arens products.)

The algebra A is said to be *Arens regular* if the first and second Arens product on A^{**} agree.

Proposition A.12. *Let A be a Banach algebra. Let $a \in A$ and $S \in A^{**}$, and let aS and Sa be defined by the A-bimodule structure of A^{**} from Paragraph A.4. Then*

$$\kappa_A(a) \square S = \kappa_A(a) \lozenge S = aS \quad \textit{and} \quad S \square \kappa_A(a) = S \lozenge \kappa_A(a) = Sa.$$

Proof. Choose a net $(a_i)_{i\in I}$ in A such that $S = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{i\in I} \kappa_A(a_i)$. Then the net $(\kappa_A(aa_i))_{i\in I}$ weak* converges to $\kappa_A(a) \square S$ and $\kappa_A(a) \lozenge S$ by Lemma A.8. It is immediate that the left action of a on A^{**} from Paragraph A.4 is weak* continuous, whence also $aS = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{i\in I} \kappa_A(aa_i)$. The other equalities are shown analogously. □

Remark A.13. Given $a \in A$ and $S \in A^{**}$, Proposition A.12 shows that the first and second Arens product of $\kappa_A(a)$ and S agree, even if A is not Arens regular. In particular, the map $\kappa_A\colon A \to A^{**}$ is multiplicative for either Arens product.

Further, considering A as a subalgebra of A^{**} via κ_A, Proposition A.12 also shows that the multiplication between an element of a of A and an element S of A^{**} is unambiguous, and hence we will write aS and Sa for their product.

Proposition A.14. *Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $(S_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(T_j)_{j\in J}$ be nets in A^{**} that converge weak* to S and T, respectively. Then*

$$S \square T = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{i\in I} (S_i \square T) \quad \textit{and} \quad S \lozenge T = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{j\in J} (S \lozenge T_j)\,.$$

Thus, $(A^{**}, \square)$ is a right *dual Banach algebra, and $(A^{**}, \lozenge)$ is a* left *dual Banach algebra. (See Definition B.1 for the definitions.)*

Proof. This follows from Lemma A.8 which shows that p''' and p''' are weak* continuous in the relevant variables. □

Remarks A.15. (1) Given $S, T \in A^{**}$, we can choose nets $(a_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(b_j)_{j\in J}$ in A such that $S = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{i\in I} \kappa_A(a_i)$ and $T = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{j\in J} \kappa_A(b_j)$. For each $i \in I$ and $j \in J$, let $a_iT \in A^{**}$ and $Sb_j \in A^{**}$ be defined using the A-bimodule structure of A^{**}; see Paragraph A.4. Then the nets $(a_iT)_{i\in I}$ and $(Sb_j)_{j\in J}$ converge in the weak* topology of A^{**}, and we have

$$S\square T = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{i\in I} a_iT \quad \text{and} \quad S\lozenge T = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{j\in J} Sb_j.$$

We could have used this to define the left and right Arens product on A^{**}.

(2) A Banach algebra A is Arens regular if and only if for any two weak* convergent nets $(S_i)_{i\in I}$ and $(T_j)_{j\in J}$ in A^{**} we have

$$\mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{i\in I} \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{j\in J} S_iT_j = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{j\in J} \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_{i\in I} S_iT_j.$$

(3) Let X be a Banach space. It is a natural (and still open) problem to characterize when $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is Arens regular. A necessary condition is that X be reflexive. In the converse direction, it is known that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is Arens regular whenever X is superreflexive, a condition that is satisfied by every L^p-space when $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, there exist examples of reflexive spaces X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is not Arens regular. See [Daw04a] and [Daw04b] for details.

Given a left A-module E, it is shown in [CSGV00, Theorem 2] that E^{**} has a natural left-$(A^{**}, \square)$-module structure. The following result, which is another corollary of Proposition A.9, generalizes this by showing that already the dual E^* has a natural right-$(A^{**}, \square)$-module structure.

Corollary A.16. *Let A and B be Banach algebra, and let E be a A-B-bimodule, with the module structures given by the bilinear maps $n\colon A \times E \to E$ and $m\colon E \times B \to E$, respectively.*

Then E^* is a $(B^{**}, \square)$-$(A^{**}, \lozenge)$-bimodule, with module structures given by the bilinear maps $m^{\backprime}\colon B^{**} \times E^* \to E^*$ and $n'\colon E^* \times A^{**} \to E^*$, respectively.

Further, E^{**} is a $(A^{**}, \lozenge)$-$(B^{**}, \square)$-bimodule, with module structures given by $n'''\colon A^{**} \times E^{**} \to E^{**}$ and $m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}\colon E^{**} \times B^{**} \to E^{**}$, respectively.

Proof. Given $S, T \in B^{**}$, $\eta \in E^*$ and $\sigma \in E^{**}$, applying Proposition A.9 at the respective second steps, we deduce that

$$(S\square T)\eta = m^{\backprime}(p^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(S, T), \eta) = m^{\backprime}(S, m^{\backprime}(T, \eta)) = S(T\eta),$$

and that

$$\sigma(S\square T) = m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(\sigma, p^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(S, T), \eta) = m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(\sigma, S), T) = (\sigma S)T,$$

as desired. The results for the action of $(A^{**}, \lozenge)$ follow analogously. □

Lemma A.17. *Let E and F be A-B-bimodules, and let $\varphi\colon E \to F$ be an A-B-bimodule map. Then the transpose $\varphi^*\colon F^* \to E^*$ is an $(B^{**}, \square)$-$(A^{**}, \lozenge)$-bimodule map, and the bitranspose $\varphi^{**}\colon E^{**} \to E^{**}$ is an $(A^{**}, \lozenge)$-$(B^{**}, \square)$-bimodule map.*

Proof. Let the left A-module structures on E and F be given by bilinear maps $m_E\colon A \times E \to E$ and $m_F\colon A \times F \to F$, respectively.

Claim: *Let $\eta \in F^*$ and $x \in E$. Then $m'_E(x, \varphi^*(\eta)) = m'_F(\varphi(x), \eta)$.* To prove this claim, let $a \in A$. Using that φ is a left A-module map at the third step, we deduce that

$$\langle m'_E(x, \varphi^*(\eta)), a\rangle = \langle \varphi^*(\eta), ax\rangle = \langle \eta, \varphi(ax)\rangle = \langle \eta, a\varphi(x)\rangle = \langle m'_F(\varphi(x), \eta), a\rangle .$$

Since this holds for all $a \in A$, the claim is proved.

To show that φ^* is a right $(A^{**},\Diamond)$-module map, let $\eta \in F^*$ and $S \in A^{**}$. Using the claim at the fourth step, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \varphi^*(\eta S), x\rangle &= \langle \eta S, \varphi(x)\rangle = \langle m_F''(\eta, S), \varphi(x)\rangle = \langle S, m_F'(\varphi(x),\eta)\rangle \\ &= \langle S, m_E'(x, \varphi^*(\eta))\rangle = \langle m_E''(\varphi^*(\eta), S), x\rangle = \langle \varphi^*(\eta)S, x\rangle\,,\end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in E$. It follows that $\varphi^*(\eta S) = \varphi^*(\eta)S$, as desired.

It is proved analogously that φ^* is a left $(B^{**},\Box)$-module map. The statement about φ^{**} follows directly. □

Remark A.18. Given Banach algebras A and B, let A-B-$\mathfrak{Mod}$ denote the category with A-B-bimodules as objects and with A-B-bimodule maps as morphisms. Then Corollary A.16 and Lemma A.17 say that we have a contravariant functor

$$A\text{-}B\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod} \to (B^{**},\Box)\text{-}(A^{**},\Diamond)\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod},$$

that assigns to an A-B-bimodule E its dual E^*, and that assigns to an A-B-bimodule map φ its transpose φ^*.

Similarly, we have a covariant functor

$$A\text{-}B\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod} \to (A^{**},\Diamond)\text{-}(B^{**},\Box)\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod},$$

by assigning to an A-B-bimodule E its bidual E^{**}, and by assigning to an A-B-bimodule map φ its bitranspose φ^{**}. This has also been observed in [CSGV00, Section 2.1].

Proposition A.19. *Let A and B be Banach algebras, and let E be a A-B-bimodule, with the module structures given by the bilinear maps $n\colon A \times E \to E$ and $m\colon E \times B \to E$, respectively.*

Let $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $\eta \in E^*$, and let $b\eta$ and ηa be defined by the B-A-bimodule structure of E^* from Remark A.2. Then

$$n''(\eta, \kappa_A(a)) = \eta a \quad \text{and} \quad m^{\backprime\backprime}(\kappa_B(b), \eta) = b\eta.$$

Thus, the $(B^{**},\Box)$-$(A^{**},\Diamond)$-bimodule structure on E^* from Corollary A.16 extends the B-A-bimodule structure on E^* from Remark A.2.

Similarly, given $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $\sigma \in E^{**}$, and letting $a\sigma$ and σb be defined by the A-B-bimodule structure of E^{**} from Remark A.2, we have

$$n'''(\kappa_A(a), \sigma) = a\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad m^{\backprime\backprime\backprime}(\sigma, \kappa_B(b)) = \sigma b.$$

Thus, the $(A^{**},\Diamond)$-$(B^{**},\Box)$-bimodule structure on E^{**} from Corollary A.16 extends the A-B-bimodule structure on E^{**} from Remark A.2.

Remark A.20. We have seen that A^{**} has two natural products making it a Banach algebra; see Definition A.11. More symmetically, we can consider A^{**} as an $(A^{**},\Diamond)$-$(A^{**},\Box)$-bimodule; see Corollary A.16. The left action of $S \in (A^{**},\Diamond)$ on A^{**} is given by $F \mapsto S\Box F$. The right action of $T \in (A^{**},\Box)$ on A^{**} is given by $F \mapsto F\Diamond T$.

Appendix B. Preduals of Banach algebras and modules

Throughout this section, A and B will denote Banach algebras.

We first recall the notion of a (left, right) dual Banach algebra; see Definition B.1. This is generalized to the notion of a (left, right) dual module; see Definition B.5. In Proposition B.8 we give characterizations of when a bimodule is a dual bimodule. Specializing to the case of a Banach algebra A with predual $F \subseteq A^*$, we give characterizations of when A is a (left, right) dual Banach algebra. In particular, we see that A is a dual Banach algebra if and only if the naturally associated projection $\pi_F\colon A^{**} \to A$ is multiplicative (for either Arens product on A^{**}); see Theorem B.10.

Many results of this section are known for the particular case of isometric preduals and for dual Banach algebras. We generalize them in two ways, by considering arbitrary preduals and left (right) dual Banach algebras.

The following definition follows [Spa15, Definition 4.1]. The usual definition of a (left, right) dual Banach algebra requires the predual to be an isometric predual. However, for our study of preduals of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, it seemed natural to relax this condition, since every (not necessarily isometric) predual of X induces a natural (not necessarily isometric) predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$; see Theorem 6.6.

Definition B.1. Let A be a Banach algebra with a predual $F \subseteq A^*$. We say that (A, F) is a *left dual Banach algebra* if for each $a \in A$ the left multiplication operator $L_a \colon A \to A$ is weak* continuous. Analogously, we say that (A, F) is a *right dual Banach algebra* if for each $a \in A$ the right multiplication operator $R_a \colon A \to A$ is weak* continuous. Further, (A, F) is a *dual Banach algebra* if it is both a left and right dual Banach algebra.

If F is an isometric predual, and we want to stress this point, then we will also say that (A, F) is an *isometric* (left, right) dual Banach algebra.

Remarks B.2. (1) The notion of dual Banach algebra was introduced by Runde in [Run02, Definition 4.4.1, p.108]. Dual Banach algebras have been extensively studied by Daws in [Daw04b], [Daw07], [Daw11].

The prime example of an isometric dual Banach algebra is $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for a reflexive space X, with the canonical isometric predual $X \widehat{\otimes} X^*$; see Paragraph 7.1 and Corollary 7.4. Every weak* closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is naturally an isometric dual Banach algebra, with isometric predual given as a quotient of $X \widehat{\otimes} X^*$. It was shown by Daws that the converse also holds; see Theorem B.3.

The prime example of a (isometric) right dual Banach algebra is $\mathcal{L}(X)$ for a Banach space X with (isometric) predual $F \subseteq X^*$. The canonical predual of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ in this case is given by $X \overline{\otimes}_X F$; see Definition 6.1 and Theorem 6.6. It was shown by Spain that the converse also holds; see Theorem B.4.

(2) When (A, F) is a (left, right) dual Banach algebra, we will often suppress the reference to the predual and simply say that A is a (left, right) dual Banach algebra. Similarly, the weak* topology on A means the weak* topology induced by the implicit predual.

(3) The predual of a (left, right) dual Banach algebra need not be unique, not even up to isomorphism; see Example 7.11. However, if X is reflexive, we show in Corollary 7.7 that $X \widehat{\otimes} X^*$ is the unique predual making $\mathcal{L}(X)$ a right dual Banach algebra..

Theorem B.3 (Daws, [Daw07, Corollary 3.8], [Daw11, Theorem 3.4])**.** *Let A be an isometric dual Banach algebra. Then there exist a reflexive space X and an isometric, weak* continuous representation $\pi \colon A \to \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

If, moreover, A is unital, then X and π may be chosen such that the image $\pi(A)$ is a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ that agrees with its bicommutant in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

The following result is obtained by considering the left regular representation $L \colon A \to \mathcal{L}(A)$, with A acting on itself by left multiplication.

Theorem B.4 (Spain, [Spa15, Remark 4.3])**.** *Let A be a unital, (isometric) right dual Banach algebra. Then there exist a Banach space X with an (isometric) predual, and a unital, isometric, weak* continuous representation $\pi \colon A \to \mathcal{L}(X)$.*

We extend Definition B.1 to (bi)modules. See also [Run04, Definition 1.1].

Definition B.5. Let E be a left A-module, and let $F \subseteq E^*$ be a predual. We say that (E, F) is a *left dual A-module* if for each $a \in A$ the (left) action of a on E is

weak* continuous. Analogously, if E is a right B-module, then we say that (E,F) is a *right dual B-module* if for each $b \in B$ the (right) action of b on E is weak* continuous.

Further, if E is an A-B-bimodule, then we say that (E,F) is a *dual A-B-bimodule* if it is both a left dual A-module and a right dual B-module.

If F is an isometric predual of E, and we want to stress this point, then we will also say that (E,F) is an isometric (left, right) dual module.

Remarks B.6. (1) Definition B.1 is the case $E = A$ of Definition B.5.

(2) We use the terminology 'left dual A-module' instead of 'dual left A-module' to fit with the terminology of 'left dual Banach algebra' (instead of 'dual left Banach algebra') introduced by Spain.

B.7. Let E be an A-B-bimodule, and let $F \subseteq E^*$ be a predual. Let $\iota\colon F \to E^*$ denote the inclusion map. Let $\delta_F := \iota^* \circ \kappa_E\colon E \to F^*$ be the associated isomorphism, and let $\pi_F := \delta_F^{-1} \circ \kappa_F^* \circ \delta_F^{**}\colon E^{**} \to E$ be the naturally associated projection satisfying $\pi_F \circ \kappa_E = \mathrm{id}_E$; see Paragraph 3.9. We have $\pi_F = \delta_F^{-1} \circ \iota^*$. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^{***} & \xleftarrow{\delta_F^{**}} & E^{**} \\ {\scriptstyle \kappa_F^*}\downarrow & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \iota^*} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_F} \\ F^* & \xrightarrow[\delta_F^{-1}]{} & E. \end{array}$$

Proposition B.8. *Let E be a left A-module, and let $F \subseteq E^*$ be a predual. Let $\pi_F\colon E^{**} \to E$ be the naturally associated map from Paragraph B.7. We consider E^* and E^{**} with their natural right and left A-module structures from Remark A.2, respectively. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) The pair (E,F) is a left dual A-module.
(2) The space F is a right sub-A-module of E^*, that is, $FA \subseteq F$.
(3) The space F has a right A-module structure such that δ_F is a left A-module map.
(4) The map π_F is a left A-module map.

Analogous results hold for right modules and bimodules. In particular, if E is an A-B-bimodule, then (E,F) is a dual A-B-bimodule if and only if F is a sub-B-A-bimodule of E^*, which is equivalent to π_F being an A-B-bimodule map.

Proof. We show the equivalence for a left A-module E. The statements for right modules and bimodules are shown analogously.

Let $m\colon A \times E \to E$ be the bilinear map defining the module structure on E. Given $a \in A$, we let $L_a\colon E \to E$ denote the left action by a, that is, $L_a = m(a, _)$. Then L_a is weak* continuous for the weak* topology induced by F if and only if its transpose $L_a^*\colon E^* \to E^*$ leaves F invariant. Recall that the right action of a on E^* is given by L_a^*; see Remark A.2. Therefore, L_a is weak* continuous if and only if $Fa \subseteq F$. This implies the equivalence between (1) and (2).

Let us show that (2) implies (3). We give F the right A-module structure it inherits as a right sub-A-module of E^*. The inclusion map $\iota\colon F \to E^*$ is then clearly a right A-module map. It follows that the transpose ι^* is a left A-module map; see Remark A.3. Since κ_E is also a left A-module map, we deduce that δ_F is a left A-module map.

Let us show that (3) implies (4). Since $\kappa_F\colon F \to F^{**}$ is a right A-module map, it follows that $\kappa_F^*\colon F^{***} \to F^*$ is a left A-module map; see Remarks A.3 and A.5. Since δ_F is a left A-module map, so is δ_F^{**} and δ_F^{-1}. It follows that $\pi_F = \delta_F^{-1} \circ \kappa_F^* \circ \delta_F^{**}$ is a left A-module map, as well.

To show that (4) implies (2), recall from Paragraph 3.9 that F can be recovered from $\ker(\pi_F)$ as its preannihilator, that is:

$$F = \{\eta \in E^* : \langle \eta, \sigma \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in \ker(\pi_F)\}.$$

Given $\eta \in F$, $a \in A$ and $\sigma \in \ker(\pi_F)$, it follows that $L_a^{**}(\sigma)$ belongs to $\ker(\pi_F)$. Using this at the last step, we get

$$\langle L_a^*(\eta), \sigma \rangle = \langle \eta, L_a^{**}(\sigma) \rangle = 0,$$

whence $L_a^*(\eta) \in F$, as desired. □

Applying Proposition B.8 to Banach algebras, we obtain:

Corollary B.9. *Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $F \subseteq A^*$ be a predual. Let $\pi_F \colon A^{**} \to A$ be naturally associated map from Paragraph B.7. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The pair (A, F) is a left (right) dual Banach algebra.*
2. *The space F is a right (left) A-submodule of A^*.*
3. *The space F has a right (left) A-module structure such that δ_F is a left (right) A-module map.*
4. *The map π_F is left (right) A-module map.*

The following result is well-known for Banach algebras with an isometric predual, see for example [Daw07, Lemma 2.2] and [Pal74, Theorem 1]. We generalize it to arbitrary preduals and include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem B.10. *Let A be a Banach algebra, and let $F \subseteq A^*$ be a predual. Let $\pi_F \colon A^{**} \to A$ be naturally associated map from Paragraph B.7. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *The pair (A, F) is a dual Banach algebra.*
2. *The space F is an A-sub-bimodule of A^*.*
3. *The space F has an A-bimodule structure such that δ_F is an A-bimodule map.*
4. *The map π_F is an A-bimodule map.*
5. *The map π_F is multiplicative for the first Arens product on A^{**}.*
6. *The map π_F is multiplicative for the second Arens product on A^{**}.*

Proof. The equivalence between (1), (2), (3) and (4) follows from Corollary B.9. It is clear that (5) implies (4), and that (6) implies (4).

To verify that (1) and (4) implies (5), let $S, T \in A^{**}$. Choose a net $(a_j)_j$ in A such that $(\kappa_A(a_j))_j$ converges weak* to S. Using Proposition A.14 at the first step, using that π_F is weak* continuous at the second and last step, using that π_F is a left A-module map at the third step, and using that A is a right dual Banach algebra at the fourth step, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_F(S \square T) &= \pi_F\left(\mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j \kappa_A(a_j) \square T \right) = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j \pi_F(a_j \square T) = \mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j (a_j \pi_F(T)) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{w}^*\text{-}\lim_j a_j \right) \pi_F(T) = \pi_F(S)\pi_F(T), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

One analogously shows that (1) and (3) imply (6). □

References

[Ami86] D. Amir, *Characterizations of inner product spaces*, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications* **20**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1986. MR 897527. Zbl 0617.46030.

[Are51a] R. Arens, The adjoint of a bilinear operation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1951), 839–848. MR 0045941. Zbl 0044.32601.

[Are51b] R. Arens, Operations induced in function classes, *Monatsh. Math.* **55** (1951), 1–19. MR 0044109. Zbl 0042.35601.

[CSGV00] F. Cabello Sánchez, R. García, and I. Villanueva, Extension of multilinear operators on Banach spaces, *Extracta Math.* **15** (2000), 291–334, III Congress on Banach Spaces (Jarandilla de la Vera, 1998). MR 1823895.

[DJ73] W. J. Davis and W. B. Johnson, A renorming of nonreflexive Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **37** (1973), 486–488. MR 0310595. Zbl 0259.46014.

[DL72] W. J. Davis and J. Lindenstrauss, On total nonnorming subspaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (1972), 109–111. MR 0288560. Zbl 0256.46025.

[Daw04a] M. Daws, Arens regularity of the algebra of operators on a Banach space, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **36** (2004), 493–503. MR 2069011 (2005b:47161). Zbl 1066.47073.

[Daw04b] M. Daws, Banach algebras of operators, Ph.D Thesis, The University of Leeds, School of Mathematics, Department of Pure Mathematics, 2004.

[Daw07] M. Daws, Dual Banach algebras: representations and injectivity, *Studia Math.* **178** (2007), 231–275. MR 2289356. Zbl 1115.46038.

[Daw11] M. Daws, A bicommutant theorem for dual Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **111A** (2011), 21–28. MR 2851064. Zbl 1285.46036.

[Daw16] M. Daws, Is a C^*-algebra with an isomorphic predual a von Neumann algebra?, MathOverflow, 2016, URL:http://mathoverflow.net/q/244724 (version: 2016-07-20).

[DPW09] M. Daws, H. L. Pham, and S. White, Conditions implying the uniqueness of the weak*-topology on certain group algebras, *Houston J. Math.* **35** (2009), 253–276. MR 2491881. Zbl 1205.43002.

[DF93] A. Defant and K. Floret, *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies* **176**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993. MR 1209438. Zbl 0774.46018.

[DP07] J. M. Delgado and C. Piñeiro, Banach spaces of operators that are complemented in their biduals, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **115** (2007), 49–58. MR 2316622. Zbl 1164.47068.

[DFS03] J. Diestel, J. Fourie, and J. Swart, The projective tensor product. I, in *Trends in Banach spaces and operator theory (Memphis, TN, 2001)*, *Contemp. Math.* **321**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 37–65. MR 1978806. Zbl 1048.46024.

[Dix86] P. G. Dixon, Left approximate identities in algebras of compact operators on Banach spaces, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **104** (1986), 169–175. MR 877899. Zbl 0624.47039.

[GT16] E. Gardella and H. Thiel, Approximation properties and Goldstine's theorem for pairs of Banach spaces, in preparation, 2016.

[God14] G. Godefroy, Remarks on spaces of compact operators between reflexive Banach spaces, in *Operator theory in harmonic and non-commutative analysis*, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **240**, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 189–194. MR 3134551.

[God89] G. Godefroy, Existence and uniqueness of isometric preduals: a survey, in *Banach space theory (Iowa City, IA, 1987)*, *Contemp. Math.* **85**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 131–193. MR 983385. Zbl 0674.46010.

[GS88] G. Godefroy and P. D. Saphar, Duality in spaces of operators and smooth norms on Banach spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **32** (1988), 672–695. MR 955384 (89j:47026). Zbl 0631.46015.

[God82] B. V. Godun, Equivalent norms on nonreflexive Banach spaces, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **265** (1982), 20–23. MR 671633. Zbl 0517.46011.

[HS12] P. Hájek and R. J. Smith, Some duality relations in the theory of tensor products, *Expo. Math.* **30** (2012), 239–249. MR 2990114. Zbl 1263.46020.

[Hol73] J. R. Holub, Reflexivity of $L(E, F)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **39** (1973), 175–177. MR 0315407. Zbl 0262.46015.

[KM46] S. Kakutani and G. W. Mackey, Ring and lattice characterizations of complex Hilbert space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1946), 727–733. MR 0016534. Zbl 0060.26305.

[Kal84] N. J. Kalton, Locally complemented subspaces and $\mathcal{L}_p$-spaces for $0 < p < 1$, *Math. Nachr.* **115** (1984), 71–97. MR 755269. Zbl 0568.46013.

[Oja04] E. Oja, Operators that are nuclear whenever they are nuclear for a larger range space, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **47** (2004), 679–694. MR 2097268. Zbl 1078.46012.

[OR09] E. Oja and V. Randala, Into isomorphisms in tensor products of Banach spaces, *Quaest. Math.* **32** (2009), 269–279. MR 2541240. Zbl 1187.46013.

[Pal74] T. W. Palmer, Arens multiplication and a characterization of W^*-algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 81–87. MR 0341122. Zbl 0284.46041.

[Pal94] T. W. Palmer, *Banach algebras and the general theory of *-algebras. Vol. I*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* **49**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994, Algebras and Banach algebras. MR 1270014 (95c:46002).

[PB79] A. Pełczyński and C. Bessaga, Some aspects of the present theory of Banach spaces, Stefan Banach, Oeuvres Vol. 2, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1979, p. 223-304, 1979.

[Pie80] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, *North-Holland Mathematical Library* **20**, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1980, Translated from German by the author. MR 582655. Zbl 0434.47030.

[Rao90] T. S. S. R. K. Rao, $L(X, C(K))$ as a dual space, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **110** (1990), 727–729. MR 1023355. Zbl 0714.47034.

[Run02] V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1774**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002. MR 1874893 (2003h:46001). Zbl 0999.46022.

[Run04] V. Runde, Dual Banach algebras: Connes-amenability, normal, virtual diagonals, and injectivity of the predual bimodule, *Math. Scand.* **95** (2004), 124–144. MR 2091485. Zbl 1087.46035.

[Rya02] R. A. Ryan, *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2002. MR 1888309. Zbl 1090.46001.

[Spa13] P. G. Spain, Characterizations of Hilbert space and the Vidav-Palmer theorem, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **43** (2013), 1337–1354. MR 3105325. Zbl 1294.46048.

[Spa15] P. G. Spain, Representations of C^*-algebras in dual & right dual Banach algebras, *Houston J. Math.* **41** (2015), 231–263. MR 3347946. Zbl 06522521.

[Ülg88] A. Ülger, Arens regularity of $K(X)$, *Monatsh. Math.* **105** (1988), 313–318. MR 948119. Zbl 0652.46036.

[You77] N. J. Young, Periodicity of functionals and representations of normed algebras on reflexive spaces, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)* **20** (1976/77), 99–120. MR 0435849. Zbl 0331.46042.

Eusebio Gardella Mathematisches Institut, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik der Universität Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany.

E-mail address: gardella@uni-muenster.de

URL: www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/gardella/

Hannes Thiel Mathematisches Institut, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik der Universität Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany.

E-mail address: hannes.thiel@uni-muenster.de

URL: www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/hannes.thiel/